

#### Context

- Growing population and demand
- Declining options & rising costs
- All jurisdictions facing similar challenges
- Opportunities to partner along shared boundary
- Regulations unclear on use of PIF outside city limits



#### **Purpose**

- Clarify Use of PIF Outside City Limits
- Limited to Overlay Service Areas Map and Criteria
- Encourage Partnerships Cross-Boundary Agreement
  - Leverage Resources
  - Serve the best interest of the public



# **Park Overlay Service Areas**



## **Criteria - Park Overlay Service Areas**

- System deficit Lack of reasonable alternatives
- Park needs met by project
- Aligns with Capital Facilities Plan
- Benefits equal or exceed options within district
- Explore partnership opportunities



## **Cross Boundary Agreement - Concept**

- Acknowledges shared desire to partner where feasible
- Coordinate Planning
- Leveraging Resources
- Provide quality recreational opportunities along common boundaries for mutual benefit
- Foundational practice as public servants



## **Cross Boundary Agreement**

- Interlocal Agreement with contiguous jurisdictions
  - Camas, Clark County, Vancouver
  - Mutual benefit regardless of jurisdiction
- All parties Commit to Consider
  - Adoption of similar Park Overlay Service Areas
  - Amendments to CFP to identify potential projects



#### **Review Schedule**

April 17th	Vancouver PRAC
May 14th	Planning Commission
June 11th	Planning Commission
June 14th	County PAB
June 26th	Camas PRC
July 23rd	Planning Commission
Aug 19th	Camas City Council
Sept. 9th	Vancouver City Council
TBD	Clark County Council
TBD	Clark County Council
Sept. 16th	Vancouver City Council

#### **Requested Action**

 Support approval of the Cross Boundary Service Area Overlay Agreement to encourage partnership opportunities and leverage available resources.



# **Park Overlay Service Areas**

