KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES, INC.
TRANSPORTATIONENGINEERING/PLANNING
610 SW Alder Street, Suite 700, Portland, OR 97205 P 503.228.5230 F 503.273.8169
MEMORANDUM

| Date: | November 20, 2014 Project \#: 13865 |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Curleigh Carothers, P.E.; City of Camas |
| cc: | Ryan Lopossa, P.E.; City of Vancouver |
|  | Jeff Barsness, P.E.; Washington State Department of Transportation |
|  | David Jardin, Clark County |
|  | Randy Printz, Landerholm Law Firm |
|  | John Schmidt and John O'Neil; Green Mountain Land, LLC |
| From: | Chris Brehmer, P.E., Kelly Laustsen, and Ribeka Toda; Kittelson \& Associates, Inc. |
| Project: | Green Mountain Master Plan |
| Subject: | Transportation Impact Analysis |

This memorandum documents the results of the transportation impact analysis prepared by Kittelson \& Associates, Inc. (KAI) for the proposed Green Mountain Master Plan development to be located at the northeast corner of NE Ingle Road and NE Goodwin Road in Camas, Washington. This study concludes that Phase 1 of the site can be developed as proposed while maintaining safe and acceptable traffic operations at the study intersections assuming provision of an eastbound leftturn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road. Further transportation improvements are recommended to accommodate full build-out of the proposed development. The methodology of our analysis, pertinent findings, and our recommendations are documented in this memorandum.

## INTRODUCTION

Green Mountain Land, LLC is in the process of preparing a master plan to establish a mixed-use development on the 283 -acre site. Green Mountain Golf Course is currently located on a large portion of the property; otherwise the site is vacant. The site is currently zoned for a mix of residential uses ( $\mathrm{R}-10, \mathrm{MF}-10$ and $\mathrm{R}-6$ ) and Community Commercial (CC). Figure 1 illustrates the site vicinity map.

The master plan proposes eight phases of development, with the sequence and timing of phases largely market dependent. It is expected that Phase 1 will be completed by 2018 and full master plan build-out will be assumed by 2029 for traffic impact assessment purposes.
H:|projfilel 13865-Green Mountain Master Planldwgs|figs|13865_traffic study -Nov update.dwg Nov 20, 2014-2:24pm - klaustsen Layout Tab: 1_SV


- Study Intersections

Figure 2 illustrates a conceptual image of the master plan site vision. A mix of residential and commercial uses is planned in accordance with the zoning, with a mixed use village proposed to better integrate the commercially zoned portion of the property. The village would be located at the southwest corner of the project and will encompass approximately twenty-four acres. Further project details are provided later in this report.

## SCOPE OF THE REPORT

This analysis identifies the transportation-related impacts associated with the proposed Green Mountain Master Plan development and was prepared in accordance with City of Camas transportation impact analysis requirements. The study scope and overall study area for this project were selected based on a review of the local transportation system and direction provided by City of Camas, City of Vancouver, Clark County, and Washington Department of Transportation (WSDOT) staff.

Operational analyses were performed at the following intersections:

- NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500, WSDOT maintained)
- NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street (City of Vancouver maintained)
- NW Friberg Street/NE Goodwin Road
- NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road
- NE $232^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street
- NE $242{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue (SR 500)/NE $28{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (WSDOT maintained)
- NW Friberg Street/NW Lake Road
- NW Parker Street/NW Lake Road
- NE Everett Street (SR 500)/SE Leadbetter Road
- NW Parker Street/NE $38^{\text {th }}$ Avenue
- NE Everett Street (SR 500)/NE $43{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue (WSDOT maintained)
- Site-Access Driveways


Plan provided by Western
Planning Associates,
11/19/14

## Conceptual Master Plan <br> Camas, Washington

Figure
2

KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES, INC.
transportation enginemringiplanning

As required by the City of Camas, a transportation impact study was prepared to address the following transportation issues:

- Year 2014 existing land use and transportation system conditions within the site vicinity during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- Planned developments and transportation improvements in the study area;
- Trip generation and distribution estimates for the proposed development;
- Forecast year 2018 background traffic conditions without the proposed development during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- Forecast year 2018 total traffic conditions with the completion of Phase 1 of the proposed development during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- Forecast year 2029 background traffic conditions without the proposed development during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- Forecast year 2029 total traffic conditions with full build-out and occupancy of the proposed development during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- Level of service analyses for the study intersections; and
- On-site access and circulation.

Conclusions and recommendations are provided following the operational analysis.

## ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

All level of service analyses described in this report were performed in accordance with the procedures stated in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual (Reference 1). A description of level of service and the criteria by which they are determined is presented in Appendix " $A$ ". Appendix " $A$ " also indicates how level of service is measured and what is generally considered the acceptable range of level of service.

To ensure that this analysis was based on a reasonable worst-case scenario, the peak 15 minute flow rate during the peak hour analysis periods was used in the evaluation of all intersection levels of service. For this reason, the analysis reflects conditions that are only likely to occur for 15 minutes out of each average peak hour. Traffic conditions during other weekday hours and throughout the weekend will likely be better than those described in this report.

At the City of Vancouver-maintained NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection, the peak 15minute flow rate was assessed by applying the peak 15-minute volume across the hour and not applying a peak hour factor in accordance with guidance provided by the City.

## Operating Standards

The study intersections are each operated and maintained by one of three impacted jurisdictions: WSDOT, the City of Vancouver, or the City of Camas. Each of these jurisdictions has their own operating standards. WSDOT requires LOS "E" or better for non-HSS (Highways of Statewide Significance) in urban areas, City of Vancouver requires LOS "E" or better and a v/c ratio of less than 0.95 for signalized intersections. The City of Camas requires LOS " D " or better and a v/c ratio of 0.90 or better for all intersections. Table 1 lists the study intersections, the responsible jurisdiction, and the corresponding operating standard.

Table 1: Operating Standards at Study Intersections

| ID | Study Intersection | Jurisdiction | Standard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NE 199 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) | WSDOT | LOS "C" for non-HSS in rural area ${ }^{1}$ |
| 2 | NE 192 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street | Vancouver | LOS "E" and v/c ratio less than 0.95 |
| 3 | NW Friberg Street/NE Goodwin Road | Camas | LOS "D" and v/c of 0.90 or better |
| 4 | NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road | Camas | LOS "D" and v/c of 0.90 or better |
| 5 | NE $232{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $28{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street | Camas | LOS "D" and v/c of 0.90 or better |
| 6 | NE $242{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue (SR 500)/NE $28{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street | WSDOT | LOS "C" for non-HSS in rural area ${ }^{1}$ |
| 7 | NW Friberg Street/NW Lake Road | Camas | LOS "D" and v/c of 0.90 or better |
| 8 | NW Parker Street/NW Lake Road | Camas | LOS "D" and v/c of 0.90 or better |
| 9 | NE Everett Street (SR 500)/SE Leadbetter Road | WSDOT | LOS "C" for non-HSS in rural area ${ }^{1}$ |
| 10 | NW Parker Street/NE $38{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | Camas | LOS "D" and v/c of 0.90 or better |
| 11 | NE Everett Street (SR 500)/NE $43{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue | WSDOT | LOS "C" for non-HSS in rural area ${ }^{1}$ |

${ }^{1}$ The City of Camas TIF Update applied the WSDOT standard for facilities in urban areas (LOS "E" for non-HSS in urban area). Based on conversations with WSDOT, the standard for rural areas is currently applicable to the WSDOT study intersections.

Source: City of Camas Traffic Impact Fee Update (Reference 2)

## Turn Lane Guidelines

For roadways under Washington State jurisdiction, such as SR 500, WSDOT has defined trafficvolume based turn lane guidelines within the WSDOT Design Manual (Reference 3). Left-turn lane guidelines are provided in section 1310.04(2)(a) while right-turn lane guidelines are provided in section 1310.04(3).

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

The existing conditions analysis identifies site conditions and the current operational and geometric characteristics of roadways within the study area. These conditions will be compared with future conditions later in this report.

The site of the proposed development and surrounding study area was visited and inventoried in March 2014. At that time, information was collected regarding site conditions, adjacent land uses, existing traffic operations, and transportation facilities in the study area.

## Site Conditions and Adjacent Land Uses

The area encompassed by the master plan site is largely undeveloped. The southwest corner of the property is occupied by the Green Mountain Golf Course, a portion of which is proposed to remain open after completion of the Phase 1 master plan development. The areas surrounding the site are also largely undeveloped, with a few single family homes situated along NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street, NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, and SR 500.

## Transportation Facilities

Table 2 provides a summary of key transportation facilities in the site vicinity and Figure 3 illustrates the existing lane configurations and traffic control devices at the study intersections.


Table 2: Existing Transportation Facilities and Roadway Designations

| Roadway | Classification ${ }^{1}$ | Cross- <br> Section | Speed Limit (mph) | SideWalks? | Bicycle <br> Lanes? | Median? | On-Street Parking? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street / NE Goodwin Road / NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street | Arterial | 5-lane | 40 | Yes | Yes | Yes | None |
| SR 500 | Non-HSS ${ }^{2}$ | 2-lane | 50 | None | None | None | None |
| NE Ingle Road / NE 199 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | Collector | 2-lane | 50 | None | None | None | None |
| NE 192 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue | Arterial | 2-lane | 40 | Partial | None | None | None |
| SE 192 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue | Arterial | 5-lane | 40 | Partial | None | None | None |
| NW Friberg Street / NE 202 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue | Arterial | 2-lane | 40 | Partial | None | None | None |
| SE $1^{\text {st }}$ Street / NW Lake Road | Arterial | 5-lane | 40 | Yes | Yes | Yes | None |
| NW Parker Street | Arterial | 5-lane | 35 | Yes | Yes | None | None |
| NE Everett Road | Arterial | 2-lane | 35 | None | None | None | None |
| NW Pacific Rim Blvd./ SE $34^{\text {TH }}$ Street | Arterial | 5-lane | 40 | Yes | None | Yes | None |

${ }^{1}$ Source: City of Camas Traffic Impact Fee Update (Reference 2)
${ }^{2}$ HSS $=$ Highways of Statewide Significance

## Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

Neither sidewalks nor striped bicycle facilities are provided in the vicinity of the site on either NE Ingle Road or NE Goodwin Road/NE $28{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street.

## Transit Facilities

The C-Tran Camas Connector Dial-A-Ride service currently operates within a portion of the study area, with a northern boundary of Lake Road, western boundary of Parker Street, and eastern boundary of SR 500. This service operates by accepting telephone calls from riders to be taken to a location inside a defined boundary. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday from 5:30 a.m. to 9:15 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. No service is available on holidays (Reference 4).

## Crash Analysis

The crash histories of the study intersections were reviewed in an effort to identify potential intersection safety issues. Crash records were obtained from WSDOT. The data represents records between January 1, 2008 and November 30, 2013. The crash rate was calculated to determine the number of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). Generally speaking, a crash rate greater than 1.0 crashes per MEV suggests locations where crash patterns should be reviewed in greater detail.

A brief discussion of the crash data at key intersections is presented after Table 3. There were no fatalities reported at the study intersections during the time periods studied. Appendix " $B$ " contains the crash data.

As shown in Table 3, the two intersections where the highest crash rates were observed were NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street and NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road. At all other intersections, the observed crash rates are well below 1.0 crash per million entering vehicles.

Table 3: Intersection Crash Histories (1/1/2008-11/30/2013)

| Intersection | Total | Collision Type |  |  |  |  |  | Severity |  | Crash <br> Rate Crashes/ MEV ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rear } \\ & \text { End } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Turn } \\ & \text {-ing } \end{aligned}$ | Angle | Pedes <br> -trian | Fixed Object | Road <br> way Ditch | PDO ${ }^{1}$ | Injury |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. NE } 199^{\text {th }} \text { Ave } / \text { NE } 58^{\text {th }} \text { St } \\ & \text { (SR 500) } \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0.57 |
| 2. NE $192{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Ave / NE $13{ }^{\text {th }}$ St | 8 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0.27 |
| 3. NE Friberg St / NE Goodwin Rd | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0.32 |
| 4. NE Ingle Rd/ NE Goodwin Rd | 16 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 1.03 |
| 5. NE $232{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Ave / NE $28{ }^{\text {th }}$ St | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0.25 |
| 6. NE $242{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Ave (SR 500)/ NE $28{ }^{\text {th }}$ St | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0.30 |
| 7. NW Friberg St / NW Lake Rd | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0.24 |
| 8. NW Parker St / NW Lake Rd | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0.12 |
| 9. NE Everett St (SR 500)/ SE Leadbetter Rd | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0.54 |
| 10. NW Parker St / NE 38 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave | 9 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0.29 |
| 11. NE Everett St (SR 500) / NE $43{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Ave | 7 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0.36 |

${ }^{1}$ PDO $=$ Property Damage Only $\left.\right|^{2}$ MEV $=$ Million Entering Vehicles

## NE 199 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE 58 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500)

The second highest crash rate, 0.57 , occurs at the intersection of NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street. There have been seven reported collisions, including four angle collisions and three fixed-object collisions at this intersection. The crash data was reviewed in an effort to identify potential trends. Three of the angle crashes involved vehicles making a northbound left turn from NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street; another involved an eastbound vehicle turning right from NE $58{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street to NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Of the three fixed object collisions, two involved utility poles and one involved a domestic animal. Collisions with domestic animals are challenging to eliminate and one of the collisions with the utility poles involved a driver asleep at the wheel. Four of the seven crashes occurred during wet road surface conditions. Given the relatively low number of reported collisions
and the unusual nature of three of the seven collisions (the three fixed-object collisions), there are no safety-based mitigation measures recommended at this intersection at this time in conjunction with site development. If an eastbound right-turn lane is added to the intersection in the future (which is currently warranted as will be described later in this report), it may provide safety benefits.

## NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road

The highest crash rate, 1.03, occurs at the intersection of NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road. There have been reported collisions including 4 four rear-end collisions, 5 five angle collisions, 4 fixedobject collisions (involving a utility pole, a mailbox, a boulder, and a wood sign post), 2 roadway ditch collisions, and a pedestrian collision at this intersection. As discussed later in this report, the Green Mountain Master Plan proposes to construct an exclusive eastbound left-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road in conjunction with the Phase 1 site development. Providing an eastbound left-turn lane and potential related reconfiguration of the southbound stop bar location (refer to sight distance discussion below) in conjunction with Phase 1 site development could provide a safety benefit at this intersection.

Two of the angle collisions involved vehicles exceeding reasonably safe speeds while making a westbound right-turn at the intersection. One of the recommended mitigation measures for the 2029 full build-out scenario of the proposed development is the addition of a westbound right-turn lane at this intersection, which could provide a safety benefit for turning vehicles. Additional longterm mitigation measures anticipated in conjunction with site development include constructing a three-lane roadway section on NE Goodwin Road along the site frontage and signalizing the intersection when warranted.

## Intersection Sight Distance

Intersection sight distance was observed at the study intersections and was found to meet applicable city or WSDOT standards, with the exception of the sight distance at the NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road intersection. As shown in Exhibit 1 below, the stop bar on NE Ingle Road is set back approximately 25 feet from the edge of NE Goodwin Road.

Exhibit 1: Stop Bar on NE Ingle Road at NE Goodwin Road


Image source: Google Maps (right image)
As indicated in Exhibit 2, vehicles currently pull past the stop bar to obtain sufficient sight distance to then execute a turning maneuver. Regardless of the proposed site development, we recommend that the City of Camas consider potential improvements to enhance the intersection sight distance, such as relocating the stop bar closer to NE Goodwin Road.

Exhibit 2: Vehicle Waiting to Make Left-Turn from NE Ingle Road to NE Goodwin Road


## Existing Traffic Operations

Manual turning-movement counts were conducted at the study intersections in March and April 2014. The counts were conducted on a typical mid-week day during the morning peak period (7:00 to 9:00 a.m.) and the evening peak period (4:00 to 6:00 p.m.) per City requirements. Individual Intersection peak hours were then identified for operational analysis purposes.

Figures 4 and 5 provide a summary of the existing turning-movement counts, which are rounded to the nearest five vehicles per hour for the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours, respectively. Appendix " $C$ " contains the traffic count worksheets used in this study.

As shown in Figures 4 and 5, the study intersections operate acceptably during both study periods. Appendix " $D$ " contains the existing conditions traffic operations worksheets.

## Operations at NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue / NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street

As noted in the "Analysis Methodology" section, analysis of the City of Vancouver-maintained NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection involved application of the peak 15 -minute flow rate across the hour and not applying a peak hour factor. This analysis methodology is in accordance with guidance provided by the City.

During the weekday AM peak hour, significant peaking occurs at the intersection related to vehicles accessing Union High School on NW Friberg Street. In particular, the southbound left-turning volume peaks in advance of the school start at 7:45 AM, as shown in Exhibit 3. During this "peak of the peak" period, queueing for the southbound left-turn lane sometimes exceeds the available striped storage (approximately 160 feet). Based on field observation, heightened delays and queueing for the southbound left-turn movement are contained to about fifteen minutes in advance of the school start, during which time some southbound left-turning vehicles do not clear through the intersection during each cycle. After this time, volumes decrease significantly and leftturning vehicles consistently clear through the intersection in a single cycle.

Exhibit 3: Peak Hour Traffic Volumes at NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street



KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES, INC.


ITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES, INC.

## TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

The traffic impact analysis identifies how the study area's transportation system will operate upon phased build-out of the proposed master plan site. A horizon year of 2018 was selected to assess conditions with build-out of Phase 1 while a 15-year 2029 horizon year was assumed for site buildout. The impact of site-generated weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour trips was examined as follows:

- Planned developments and transportation improvements in the study area were identified and accounted for;
- Trip generation and distribution estimates for the proposed development were prepared for Phase 1 and full build-out of the proposed development;
- Forecast year 2018 background traffic conditions without the proposed development were analyzed at the study intersections;
- Forecast year 2018 total traffic conditions with completion of Phase 1 of the proposed development were analyzed at the study intersections;
- Forecast year 2029 background traffic conditions without the proposed development were analyzed at the study intersections;
- Forecast year 2029 total traffic conditions with full build-out and occupancy of the proposed development were analyzed at the study intersections; and
- On-site circulation and site-access operations were evaluated.


## Proposed Development Plan

Green Mountain Land, LLC is proposing to master plan the 283 -acre site with mixed-use development. Green Mountain Golf Course is currently located on a large portion of the master plan property. We understand that a portion of the existing Green Mountain Golf Course may remain temporarily available for use after completion of Phase 1 site development and that, ultimately, the golf course will be closed prior to full master plan build-out. No effort has been made to account for "credit" for existing trips to and from the golf course for the purposes of this transportation impact analysis report.

The master plan proposes eight phases of development, with the sequence and timing of phases to be finalized pending market conditions. It is expected that Phase 1 will be completed by 2018 and full master plan build-out is assumed by 2029 for traffic impact assessment purposes. A mix of residential and commercial uses is planned in accordance with the zoning, with a mixed use village proposed to better integrate the commercially zoned portion of the property. The application seeks
approval of an overlay zone for a portion of the site intended for an urban village. The village would be located at the southwest corner of the project and will encompass approximately twenty-four acres.

For traffic impact study purposes, Phase 1 is assumed to consist of a residential component with 215 single-family detached homes. Full build-out of the master plan residential component assumed construction of up to 536 apartment units and 764 single-family detached homes. The retail portion of the proposed development plan was assumed to develop after Phase 1 and was assumed to be a 90,000 square-foot shopping center for trip generation purposes ${ }^{1}$.

Access to Phase 1 development is anticipated along NE Ingle Road, with additional access added to NE Goodwin Road during later stages of the development. Final details of the number and location of site access points will be defined during preparation of individual site plan applications, therefore appropriate planning level assumptions have been made for master planning purposes. The proposed master plan anticipates two public street neighborhood circulator connections to NE Goodwin Road serving the site in conjunction with two public street neighborhood circulator connections along NE Ingle Road. The commercial site is expected to have direct driveway access to NE Ingle Road. Some residential areas (not individual residence driveways) not served by the anticipated neighborhood circulator facilities may also seek direct access to NE Ingle Road or NE Goodwin Road as appropriate.

## Trip Generation

Trip generation estimates for the proposed development were generated based on information provided in the standard reference manual Trip Generation, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE - Reference 7). The internal and pass-by trip rates applied to each land use were also determined from ITE's Trip Generation, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Table 4 summarizes the daily, weekday a.m., and weekday p.m. peak-hour trips for the Phase 1 assumed development while Table 5 summarizes the complete master plan site trip generation estimate. All daily trips have been rounded to the nearest ten and all peak hour trips have been rounded to the nearest five trips.

[^0]Table 4: Trip Generation Estimate - Phase 1

| Land Use | ITE <br> Code | Size | Daily | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Single-Family Detached Housing | 210 | 215 units | 2,050 | 160 | 40 | 120 | 215 | 135 | 80 |

Table 5: Trip Generation Estimate - Build-out (Includes Phase 1)

| Land Use | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Size | Daily | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Apartment | 220 | 536 units | 3,570 | 275 | 55 | 220 | 330 | 215 | 115 |
| Single-Family Detached Housing | 210 | 764 units | 7,270 | 575 | 145 | 430 | 765 | 480 | 285 |
| Total Residential (1,300 units) |  |  | 10,840 | 850 | 200 | 650 | 1,095 | 695 | 400 |
| Internalization (6\% Daily, 5\% PM) |  |  | 630 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 30 | 30 |
| Shopping Center <br> Internalization (10\% Daily, 11\% PM) Pass-By Trips (34\%) | 820 | $\begin{aligned} & 90,000 \\ & \text { square } \\ & \text { feet } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6,340 \\ 630 \\ 1,940 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 145 \\ 0 \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ 0 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ 0 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 560 \\ 60 \\ 170 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 270 \\ 30 \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 290 \\ 30 \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Trips |  |  | 17,180 | 995 | 290 | 705 | 1,655 | 965 | 690 |
| Less Internalization |  |  | 1,260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 60 | 60 |
| Less Pass-by trips |  |  | 1,940 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 170 | 85 | 85 |
| Net New Trips for Full Build-out |  |  | 13,980 | 945 | 265 | 680 | 1,365 | 820 | 545 |

## Trip Distribution

The distribution of site-generated trips onto the study area roadway system was estimated based on a review of surrounding roadway characteristics, existing uses, the 2035 travel demand model maintained by the Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council (RTC), and review agency guidance. Trip distribution patterns were developed separately for the residential and retail trips. Figure 6 illustrates the trip distribution patterns for the residential and retail trips.

## Trip Assignment

The weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour site trips shown in Tables 4 and 5 were assigned to the roadway network based on the trip distribution patterns shown in Figure 6. Figures 7 through 10 show the assignment of site-generated trips during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours for Phase 1 and at Build-out. Note that the site-generated build-out volumes shown in Figures 9 and 10 include the Phase 1 site-generated trips and thus reflect the total number of trips generated. $A$ figure showing the assignment of pass-by trips is provided in Appendix " $E$ ".




Total Estimated Trip Assignment - Phase 1




## 2018 Background Traffic Conditions

The 2018 background traffic analysis projects how the study area's transportation system will operate during the year that Phase 1 of the proposed development is expected to be completed. This analysis includes traffic growth due to previously approved in-process developments within the study area, but does not include traffic from any of the proposed Green Master Plan development phases. Per agency direction, no growth was applied to City of Camas roadways and a $2 \%$ growth rate was applied to City of Vancouver roadways (Reference 8).

## Planned Developments and Transportation Improvements

City of Camas staff identified 13 local development projects that are approved but not yet occupied. These in-process developments include:

- Lake Hills
- Two Creeks
- The Summit at Columbia Vista
- Parker Village
- The Hills at Round Lake
- North Hills Subdivision
- Deerhaven Subdivision
- Hadley's Glen
- Millshore Downs
- Fisher Creek Campus
- Lacamas Prairie
- $192^{\text {nd }}$ Plaza West
- Brady Road Subdivision

Appendix " $F$ " contains the data received pertaining to the in-process trips.
Planned and funded transportation improvements within the study area include the widening of NW Friberg Street (between Lake Road and NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street) and the addition of a westbound left-turn lane, northbound right-turn lane, and eastbound right-turn lane at the NW Friberg Street/NE Goodwin Road intersection. Figure 11 shows the lane configuration and traffic control devices assumed in the 2018 analysis.

## Traffic Operations

Figures 12 and 13 summarize the year 2018 background traffic operations analysis results at the study intersections for the weekday a.m. and weekday p.m. peak-hours, respectively. The projected turning movement counts are rounded to the nearest five vehicles per hour. As shown, the study intersections operate acceptably during the weekday a.m. and weekday p.m. peak periods in the 2018 background conditions.

Appendix " $G$ " contains the 2018 background conditions traffic operations worksheets.
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## 2018 Total Traffic Conditions

The year 2018 total traffic analysis forecasts how the study area's transportation system will operate with the addition of traffic from Phase 1 of the proposed development. Phase 1 site-generated trips were added to the 2018 background traffic volumes at the study intersections to arrive at the total traffic volumes.

All lane configurations are consistent with background conditions with the exception of the intersection of NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road. The developer proposes to construct an exclusive eastbound left-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road in conjunction with the Phase 1 site development. Consequently, provision of the turn lane was assumed for the total traffic analysis.

## Traffic Operations

Figures 14 and 15 summarize the year 2018 total traffic operations analysis results at the study intersections for the weekday a.m. and weekday p.m. peak-hours, respectively. The projected turning movement counts are rounded to the nearest five vehicles per hour. As shown, all but one of the study intersections are forecast to operate acceptably during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak periods under 2018 total traffic conditions. The southbound movement at the intersection of NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road is anticipated to operate at a LOS E during the weekday p.m. peak hour. Operations at this intersection could be mitigated with the addition of an eastbound right-turn lane. Based on a sensitivity analysis, this mitigation is triggered by the $203^{\text {rd }}$ unit to be constructed. Up until this point, the southbound left-turn lane is forecast to operate at a LOS D. Table 6 provides the operations at NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road during the weekday PM peak hour supporting the sensitivity analysis.

Table 6: NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road Operations Assessment - weekday PM peak hour

| Scenario | Critical Movement | LOS | v/c ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 Background Conditions | SBL | C | 0.33 |
| 2018 Background + 200 Homes | SBL | D | 0.52 |
| 2018 Background + 203 homes | SBL | E | 0.53 |
| 2018 Total Traffic (215 homes) | SBL | E | 0.53 |
| 2018 Total Traffic (2015 homes) - mitigated $^{1}$ | SBL | D | 0.51 |

Notes: LOS = Level of Service; v/c ratio = volume-to-capacity ratio
${ }^{1}$ Mitigation includes provision of westbound right-turn lane
Appendix " $H$ " contains the 2018 total traffic conditions traffic operations worksheets. Appendix " $I$ " contains the traffic operations worksheets supporting the sensitivity analysis at NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road.
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## 2029 Background Traffic Conditions

The 2029 background traffic analysis identifies how the study area's transportation system will operate with regional growth, including completion of Phase 1 development. No further funded transportation improvement projects were identified at the study intersections that would be in place prior to the year 2029. In addition to the previously described in-process development, a one percent annual growth rate was applied to the 2018 background traffic volumes on City of Camas roadways to account for regional growth in the area per staff direction. Continued use of a two percent annual growth rate was assumed to the City of Vancouver roadways (NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue).

The same lane configurations used in the 2018 analysis were assumed, with the exception of the configuration at NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road. As previously noted, the developer proposes to construct an exclusive eastbound left-turn lane at the intersection in conjunction with the Phase 1 site development so this turn lane was assumed for the 2029 analysis. Signal timings were optimized with the assumption that signals in the area will be re-timed in the next fifteen years. In addition, some peak hour factors (PHF) were increased to account for future traffic changes, including:

- PHF increased to 0.80 in the a.m. peak hour at NW Friberg Street/NE Goodwin Road and NE $242^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street
- PHF increased to 0.75 in the a.m. peak hour at NW Friberg Street/NW Lake Road; NW Parker Street/NW Lake Road; and NW Parker Street/NE $38^{\text {th }}$ Avenue


## Traffic Operations

Figures 16 and 17 summarize the year 2029 background traffic operations analysis results at the study intersections for the weekday a.m. and weekday p.m. peak-hours, respectively. As illustrated in the figures, all but two of the study intersections are forecast to operate acceptably:

- The intersection of NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street is projected to operate at a LOS E and over-capacity during the weekday a.m. peak hour and LOS F and over-capacity during the p.m. peak hour.
- The southbound approach to the intersection of NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road is projected to operate at a LOS E during the weekday p.m. peak hour (with provision of the westbound right-turn lane recommended in conjunction with Phase 1 site development).

Appendix "J" contains the 2029 background conditions traffic operations worksheets.
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## 2029 Total Traffic Conditions

The year 2029 total traffic analysis forecasts how the study area's transportation system will operate with full build-out of the proposed master plan development. The year 2029 background traffic volumes were added to the full build-out site-generated traffic to arrive at the total traffic volumes.

## Traffic Operations

Figures 18 and 19 summarize the year 2029 total traffic operations analysis results at the study intersections for the weekday a.m. and weekday p.m. peak-hours, respectively. The projected turning movement counts are rounded to the nearest five vehicles per hour. As shown, the following study intersections do not meet standards during either the weekday a.m. or p.m. peak periods:

- NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) (weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours)
- NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street (weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours, previously was failing during background a.m. and p.m. peak hours)
- NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road (weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours, previously was failing during background p.m. peak hour)

Potential mitigation measures for these intersections are discussed later in the report.
Appendix " $K$ " contains the 2029 total traffic conditions traffic operations worksheets.

## Turn-Lane Considerations

As referenced under the "Analysis Methodology," roadways under Washington State jurisdiction are subject to the turn lane guidelines contained in the WSDOT Design Manual (Reference 3). The potential need for turn-lanes at each study intersection was reviewed for the analysis scenarios. Intersections that meet turn-lane guidelines are further discussed below.

## NE 199 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE 58 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500)

Traffic volumes at the intersection of NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) meet WSDOT's guidelines for an eastbound right-turn lane on NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street under existing conditions and all future scenarios during both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour. Construction of a right-turn lane could require right-of-way acquisition and will likely impact one or more private driveways along NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (depending on the length of the deceleration lane constructed).
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The table below assesses volumes at the intersection for various horizon year scenarios and the impact of the proposed development.

Table 7: NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) Eastbound Right-Turn Lane Assessment

| Scenario | Eastbound Right- <br> Turn (EBRT) Volume | Meets <br> Guideline? | Development- <br> Added EBRT Trips | Impact of <br> Development |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 Existing Traffic - AM Peak | 180 | Yes | - | - |
| 2014 Existing Traffic - PM Peak | 145 | Yes | - | - |
| 2018 Background Traffic - AM Peak | 180 | Yes | 8 (Phase 1) | $4 \%$ |
| 2018 Background Traffic - PM Peak | 150 | Yes | 27 (Phase 1) | $18 \%$ |
| 2029 Background Traffic - AM Peak | 210 | Yes | 45 (Build-out) | $21 \%$ |
| 2029 Background Traffic - PM Peak | 190 | Yes | 138 (Build-out) | $73 \%$ |

The recorded crash history at the intersection was reviewed to identify potential safety issues that an eastbound right-turn lane might address. No crashes were reported involving vehicles making an eastbound right-turn. Given the lack of crash history and the relatively small impact of Phase 1, no improvements are recommended in conjunction with Phase 1. Nonetheless, given the amount of sitegenerated traffic that will be added to the eastbound right-turn movement as future phases of the master plan build-out, if right turn crashes materially increased, it is possible that a nexus could be established between requiring construction of an eastbound right-turn lane and traffic volume increases attributable to master plan trip development. Accordingly, we recommend that future site plan applications prepared subsequent to Phase 1 provide an updated assessment as to the potential need for providing a right-turn taper or lane at the intersection.

## NE $242^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue (SR 500)/NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street

Traffic volumes at the intersection of NE $242^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue (SR 500)/NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street meet WSDOT's guidelines for a left-turn lane on the eastbound approach under existing conditions and all future scenarios during the weekday p.m. peak hour. The table below assesses volumes at the intersection for each horizon year scenario and the impact of the proposed development. As shown in the table, the Phase 1 development does not add any trips to the eastbound left-turn lane. The trips generated by build-out of the master plan development are from the retail component and total less than 10.

Table 8: NE $242{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue (SR 500)/NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street Eastbound Left-Turn Lane Assessment

| Scenario | Eastbound Left- <br> Turn Volume | Meets Guidelines? <br> (Recommended Storage) | Development- <br> Added Trips | Impact of <br> Development |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 Existing Traffic - AM Peak | 10 | No | - | - |
| 2014 Existing Traffic - PM Peak | 80 | Yes (100 feet) | - | - |
| 2018 Background Traffic - AM Peak | 10 | No | 0 (Phase 1) | $0 \%$ |
| 2018 Background Traffic - PM Peak | 80 | Yes (100 feet) | 0 (Phase 1) | $0 \%$ |
| 2029 Background Traffic - AM Peak | 10 | No | 2 (Build-out) | $20 \%$ |
| 2029 Background Traffic - PM Peak | 90 | Yes (100 feet) | 9 (Build-out) | $10 \%$ |

The recorded crash history at the intersection was reviewed to identify potential safety issues that an eastbound left-turn lane might address. While two angle crashes were reported from vehicles making a southbound left-turn, no crashes were reported involving vehicles making an eastbound left-turn.

Based on our review of the information provided above, we find no basis for recommending improvements to the NE $242^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue (SR 500)/NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection in conjunction with Phase 1 site development. We base this conclusion on the proposed development adding no trips to the left-turn movement in question, the lack of crash history related to left-turns, and the general lack of a nexus given the small trip impact of the proposed Phase 1 development at this location.

## Planned Future Intersection Improvements

The 2012 City of Camas Traffic Impact Fee Update Report (Reference 2) identifies the future need to widen NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street to have a center left-turn lane from Ingle Road to NE $242^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue. A related project would create a new NE $242^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue extension south of NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street. Given the City's planned improvements, we recommend the City of Camas make a finding that the traffic impact fee payments made by the master plan for Phase 1 and future phases of the project mitigate development impacts at the intersection, and therefore require no additional mitigation.

## Recommended Mitigations

As discussed above, all study intersections meet operating standards under existing and 2018 background and total traffic conditions for both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Four intersections do not meet operating standards in 2029 under background and/or total traffic conditions; each is discussed below.

NE 199 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE 58 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500)
The minor street northbound left-turn at the intersection of NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) is projected to not meet current WSDOT standards in the 2029 total traffic conditions during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours. The intersection is projected to operate at a volume-to-capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c})$ ratio of 0.72 and LOS D during the a.m. peak hour and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.70 and LOS D during the p.m. peak hour. It is therefore not within WSDOT's LOS requirement (LOS C) for non-HSS facilities in rural areas. The intersection is three-legged and stop-controlled on the northbound approach. The northbound left-turn is the critical movement at the intersection, with all other movements operating at a LOS A and well under capacity. During both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours, the northbound left-turn is 3 seconds or less over the delay threshold between LOS C and LOS D. In the event that the area around the intersection urbanizes before build-out, the WSDOT performance standard will shift to LOS E and the intersection would operate within WSDOT standards.

As discussed in the Turn-Lane Considerations section above, the intersection currently meets warrants for an eastbound right-turn lane, which would improve operations for northbound leftturning vehicles to a LOS C during the 2029 total traffic conditions. As also discussed above, it is expected that a nexus might ultimately be established between requiring construction of an eastbound right-turn lane and traffic volume increases attributable to master plan trip development, based on LOS and delay at the intersection. Accordingly, we recommend that future site plan applications prepared subsequent to Phase 1 provide an updated assessment as to the potential need for providing a right-turn taper or lane at the intersection, considering both the need for a right-turn taper or lane and delay with the northbound left-turn.

Appendix " $L$ " contains the traffic operations worksheets supporting the potential mitigations at NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE 58 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500).

## NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street

The intersection of NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street is projected to not meet standards in the 2029 background conditions and the 2029 total traffic conditions during both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours. The intersection operates over-capacity in all four of these scenarios and at a LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour in the background conditions and weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours in the total traffic scenarios.

## Potential Future City of Vancouver Improvements

The City of Vancouver has identified NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue as ultimately requiring five travel lanes (two southbound through lanes, a center left-turn lane, and two northbound through lanes) and includes
the widening on the City's Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) program project list. Because no near-term funding has been programmed for the future five-lane section, the existing section was assumed to be in place in 2029 for the purposes of this traffic study. Widening by the City of Vancouver or others in the interim would add capacity and change the intersection operations.

In the event that NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue is widened to five lanes through the NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection, the intersection is projected to meet City of Vancouver intersection operating standards under 2029 background conditions. To mitigate total traffic conditions, a westbound right-turn lane would also be required. In the event that $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue is not widened, a northbound right-turn lane and westbound right-turn lane would be sufficient to mitigate 2029 total traffic conditions (mitigation assumes maintaining operations equivalent to or better than those experienced under 2029 background conditions with site build-out but does not fully accommodate forecast queuing).

## Potential Master Plan Development Mitigation Options

As noted above, the provision of a northbound right-turn lane and westbound right-turn lane would offer more than sufficient capacity to mitigate the impact of the master plan site build-out while also providing additional capacity to allow for future growth and development. Therefore, we recommend the Green Mountain Master Plan provide a proportionate share contribution towards the construction of a northbound right-turn lane and a westbound right-turn lane on NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. The City of Vancouver has successfully administered pro-rata share contribution collection systems at other intersections, allowing each development impacting a failing intersection to contribute a "fairshare" of the mitigation cost.

Appendix " $M$ " identifies a proposed proportionate cost sharing methodology. Under this methodology, each trip would be assessed a fee of $\$ 391$. Therefore the Green Mountain development contribution at full build-out would be approximately $\$ 123,600$. Details of the cost estimate, capacity generated by the improvements, and impact of the proposed development supporting the proportionate share calculations are provided in Appendix "M."

It should be noted that the NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection is listed on the City of Vancouver's TIF program project list. In the case of the Green Mountain Master plan, any TIF credits issued by the City of Vancouver would only be redeemable for development impacts in Vancouver (not Camas).

## NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road

The intersection of NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road is projected to not meet City of Camas intersection operating standards in the 2029 background conditions during the weekday p.m. peak
hour and the 2029 total traffic conditions during both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours. In order to mitigate 2029 background conditions, a two-way left-turn lane could potentially be provided east of the intersection to facilitate southbound left-turns, which are the critical movement at the intersection.

The City's long-term plans anticipate significant reconstruction of the intersection and the approaching roadways as recorded in the 2012 City of Camas Traffic Impact Fee Update (Reference 2). Identified improvement needs include:

- Installation of a traffic signal at NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road;
- The extension of a new collector roadway from NE Ingle Road south to NE $232^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue;
- Widening of NE Goodwin Road from two to three lanes between NE Ingle Road and NE $232^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue; and
- Widening of NE Goodwin Road from two to five lanes NE between Friberg Street and NE Ingle Road.

Considering the Green Mountain Master Plan project location and traffic impacts at the intersection, we recommend the following series of mitigations in conjunction with the proposed development:

- Construct an eastbound left-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road with the first Phase 1 trip.
- Construct a westbound right-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road with the $203{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Phase 1 trip (prior to occupancy of $2033^{\text {rd }}$ single family home on site). The right-turn lane should provide at least 100 feet of storage. (Note, in the long-term future, the City could consider restriping the right-turn lane to a shared through/right lane when widening of NE Goodwin Road west of NE Ingle Road develops two westbound receiving lanes).
- Construct a three-lane roadway section (with center two-way left-turn lane) on NE Goodwin Road along the site frontage in conjunction with standard frontage improvements as adjacent development occurs.
- Upon completion of Phase 1 site development (including construction of the eastbound left-turn lane and westbound right-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road with Phase 1), the developer shall monitor the need for installation of a traffic signal with each future site plan application at the intersection and construct a traffic signal when the intersection no longer satisfies City of Camas performance standard (LOS "D" and v/c of 0.90 or better) and the intersection volumes meet traffic signal warrants (subject to direction from the City of Camas).
- The monitoring effort is recommended to require preparation of then-current traffic counts, assessment of traffic signal warrants based on build-out of the thencurrent site plan application (and all other approved development), and a summary report prepared by a licensed professional engineer. The study should consider potential turn movement re-routing that is expected to occur at the NE Goodwin Road/NE Ingle Road intersection as new connections to the master plan site are made to NE Goodwin Road east of NE Ingle Road.


## On-site Circulation and Operations

We recommend that a detailed review of on-site circulation and operations be prepared in conjunction with each future site plan application. This review will provide an opportunity to consider site-specific details when they become available and should include consideration of vehicular, pedestrian, and delivery vehicle paths.

On-site landscaping, signage and any above-ground utilities should be provided appropriately to ensure that adequate sight distance is provided and maintained and should be considered as part of future site plan applications.

## Access Requirements

The City of Camas requires a minimum intersection spacing of 330 feet on three lane collector streets. This spacing should be maintained with the proposed development.

## Phase 1 Access Operations

The portion of the site that will be developed with Phase 1 is noted in Figure 2. As seen, two access points are proposed for the Phase 1 development. The proposed lane configuration at these accesses and operations is shown in Figure 20. The developer has proposed to maintain access to the existing golf course in conjunction with the Phase 1 development. The existing gravel maintenance only access will be improved to provide an interim main access to the remaining portion of the golf course (reduced to eight holes). The proposed interim golf course access is located approximately 400 feet south of the proposed southern access, which meets the City's intersection spacing requirements for a collector street noted above.

Appendix " $N$ " contains the traffic operations worksheets for the Phase 1 access operations.
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## Build-out Access Operations

An additional five access points on NE Ingle Road and two access points on NE Goodwin Road are anticipated with full build-out of the development. The exact location of the access points may change as the plans for the development are refined. We assessed operations at these access points assuming the lane configuration shown in Figure 21. As seen in the figure, we expect NE Ingle Road will be developed with a center two-way left-turn lane (TWLTL) through access " C " and NE Goodwin Road will be developed with a TWLTL along the site frontage. Operations at the site accesses for the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours are shown in Figures 22 and 23. As seen in the figures, all access points operate at a LOS "C" or better, with the exception of the eastern access on NE Goodwin Road. The southbound left-turn movement at this intersection operates at a LOS D during the weekday p.m. peak hour.

We recommend further evaluation of potential right-turn deceleration lane needs be considered at the time of site plan application. This evaluation should consider the potential need for southbound left-turn lanes or northbound right-turn lanes along NE Ingle Road at the remaining access points as well as corresponding turn lane queue storage requirements. Appendix " $O$ " contains the traffic operations worksheets for the full build-out access operations.




## TRANSPORTATION COMPLIANCE LETTER

This master plan traffic study documents the transportation implications of the proposed development at build-out. There are on-site access, circulation, turn lane, and driveway location and design considerations that will need to be addressed when specific site plan applications are made. Further, the phasing and timing of master plan build-out is likely to evolve over time to adapt to market conditions. Accordingly, it is recommended that a transportation compliance letter be prepared for each preliminary plat or site plan application to address on-site transportation, access and pedestrian standards and to ensure that the mitigation measures provided for in this report are applied at the appropriate phase of development. The transportation compliance letter should also document the trip generation of each phase of development to ensure that the total number of trips generated from future development does not exceed the number of trips vested under the Development Agreement.

We recommend each transportation compliance letter could document:

- The number of site-generated trips (daily, weekday a.m. peak hour, weekday p.m. peak hour) estimated to be used by the then-current proposed site development application.
- The number of site-generated trips (daily, weekday a.m. peak hour, weekday p.m. peak hour) previously used by approved site development applications on the master plan site.
- An accounting of the number of site-generated trips (daily, weekday a.m. peak hour, weekday p.m. peak hour) remaining assuming approval of the then-current site plan application.
- Note: In the event that a future site plan application is projected to use more trips than were previously assumed through the master plan, additional traffic capacity/concurrency analysis would be triggered (unless a traffic count cordonstudy of the master plan campus demonstrates the number of trips generated by the site is less than projected by standard ITE trip rates and thus the overall development impact actually is less than or equal to the number of trips assumed by the master plan).
- Evaluation of outstanding mitigation needs (as appropriate consistent with the Master Plan recommendations) at the intersections of:
- Need for an eastbound right-turn lane at NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500)
- NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road (including traffic signal warrant analysis)


## FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of the transportation impact analysis, Phase 1 of the Green Mountain Master Plan (estimated to generate 2,050 daily trips and 215 net new p.m. peak hour trips) can be developed while maintaining acceptable levels of service and safety at the study intersections without any required off-site mitigations. The primary findings and recommendations of this study are summarized below.

## Existing Conditions

- All of the study intersections currently operate acceptably during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.


## Proposed Development Activities

- Phase 1 site development includes 215 residential units. It is estimated to generate 160 net new a.m. peak hour trips ( 40 in and 120 out) and 215 net new p.m. peak hour trips (135 in and 80 out).
- Build-out of the site development includes 1,300 residential units and 90,000 square feet of retail use. Build-out (including Phase 1) is collectively estimated to generate a total of 995 net new a.m. peak hour trips ( 290 in and 705 out) and 1,655 net new p.m. peak hour trips (965 in and 690 out).
- Access to Phase 1 of the site will be provided via two full movement driveways on NW Ingle Road. In the future when the site is built out, access will be provided on both NW Ingle Road and NW Goodwin Road.


## Year 2018 Background Traffic Conditions

- Year 2018 background conditions (without construction of the Green Mountain mixed-use development) were estimated assuming completion of approved in-process developments within the study area and an annual 2\% growth rate on City of Vancouver roadways.
- Operational analyses indicate that the study intersections are forecast to continue to operate acceptably.


## Year 2018 Total Traffic Conditions

- Year 2018 total traffic conditions were estimated assuming completion of approved inprocess developments within the study area plus Phase 1 of the proposed development.
- Operational analyses indicate that the study intersections are forecast to continue to operate acceptably under 2018 total traffic conditions with one exception:
- The southbound movement at the intersection of NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road is projected to operate at a LOS E during the weekday p.m. peak hour. This failure is triggered by the $203^{\text {rd }}$ single family residential unit in Phase 1 of the development.


## Year 2029 Background Traffic Conditions

- Year 2029 background conditions (with construction of only Phase 1 of proposed development but no further phases) were estimated assuming the same in-process developments included in the 2018 analysis as well as a one percent growth rate on City of Camas roadways and two percent growth rate on City of Vancouver roadways.
- Operational analyses indicate that the study intersections are forecast to continue to operate acceptably under year 2029 background traffic conditions with two exceptions:
- The intersection of NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street is projected to operate at a LOS E and over-capacity during the weekday a.m. peak hour and LOS F and overcapacity during the weekday p.m. peak hour,
- The southbound approach to the intersection of NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road is projected to operate at a LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour.


## Year 2029 Total Traffic Conditions

- Year 2029 total traffic conditions were estimated assuming year 2029 background traffic and complete build-out of the proposed Green Mountain development.
- Operational analyses indicate that the study intersections are forecast to continue to operate acceptably under year 2029 total traffic conditions, with the exception of:
- NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) (weekday a.m. and p.m.)
- NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street (weekday a.m. and p.m.)
- NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road (weekday a.m. and p.m.)


## Turn-Lane Considerations

- An assessment of turn-lane need was conducted for each study intersection.
- The intersection of NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE 58 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) meets WSDOT's guidelines for a right-turn lane on the eastbound approach under existing conditions and all future scenarios during both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour.
- The crash history indicates that no crashes were recorded between 2008-2013 involving vehicles making an eastbound right-turn.
- Given the lack of crash history related to eastbound right-turns and the relatively small impact of Phase 1 (eight eastbound right-turn trips during the weekday a.m. peak hour, 27 eastbound right-turn trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour), no improvements are recommended in conjunction with Phase 1.
- In the future, the provision of a right-turn taper or lane could be considered if suggested by the crash history at the intersection.
- The intersection of NE $242{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue (SR 500 )/NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street meets WSDOT's guidelines for a left-turn lane on the eastbound approach under existing conditions and all future scenarios during the weekday p.m. peak hour.
- The crash history indicates that no crashes were recorded between 2008-2013 involving vehicles making an eastbound left-turn.
- The City's long-term plans include a traffic signal and southbound left-turn lane at NE 242nd Avenue (SR 500)/NE 28th Street.
- Given the lack of recorded crash history, the small impact of the proposed development (no Phase 1 eastbound left-turns and less than 10 at master plan build-out), and future improvement plans at this intersection, no turn-lane improvements are recommended with Phase 1 site development.


## Recommendations

- Regardless of the proposed master plan application, we recommend that the City of Camas consider potential improvements to the intersection of NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road to address intersection sight distance limitations associated with the location of the stop bar, such as relocating the stop bar.
- The following improvements should be provided in conjunction with site development:
- Phase 1 Site Development
- An eastbound left-turn lane with 100 feet of storage should be provided at NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road.
- A westbound right-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road prior to occupancy of the $203^{\text {rd }}$ single family home in Phase 1 . The right-turn lane should provide at least 100 feet of storage.
- On-site and off-site landscaping and any above ground utilities at the siteaccess driveways and internal roadways should be provided appropriately to ensure that adequate sight-distance is maintained.
- For Phase 1 and all future phases, a Transportation Compliance Letter as described above should be prepared by a licensed professional engineer and submitted with the then-current site plan application.
- Full Build-Out of Site Development (items to be assessed in Transportation Compliance Letter unless otherwise mitigated):
- Future site plan applications should provide an updated assessment as to the potential need for providing an eastbound right-turn taper or lane at the $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (SR 500)/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection unless otherwise deemed mitigated by the project or others.
- Pay a proportionate "fair-share" financial contribution towards capacity mitigations at the intersection of NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street. This contribution would partially fund the eventual construction of a northbound right-turn lane on NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue and a westbound rightturn lane on NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.
- Mitigations will be needed to improve NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road in 2029. We recommend the following:
- The applicant construct a three-lane section (with center two-way left-turn lane) on NE Goodwin Road along the site frontage.
- The applicant assess traffic volumes and signal warrants at NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road with each phase of development and construct a traffic signal and related appurtenances when the intersection no longer satisfies City of Camas performance standard (LOS "D" and v/c of 0.90 or better) and intersection volumes meet traffic signal warrants.
- On-site and off-site landscaping and any above ground utilities at the site-access driveways and internal roadways should be provided appropriately to ensure that adequate sight-distance is maintained.

We trust this letter adequately addresses the traffic impacts associated with the proposed Green Mountain Master Plan development. Please contact us if you have any questions or comments regarding the contents of this report or the analysis performed.
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## Appendix B Phase 2 Transportation Compliance Letter

## MEMORANDUM

Date: $\quad$ November 8, 2016<br>To: Curleigh Carothers, P.E.; City of Camas<br>cc: Ralph Emerson, CLB Washington Option Solutions, LLC<br>Kurt Stonex, P.E., Mike Odren, Stacey Hickman; Olson Engineering<br>From: Chris Brehmer, P.E., Kelly Laustsen; Kittelson \& Associates, Inc.<br>Project: Green Mountain Phase 2<br>Subject: Transportation Compliance Letter

This memorandum provides a transportation compliance letter to support Phase 2 of the proposed Green Mountain Master Plan development to be located at the northeast corner of NE Ingle Road and NE Goodwin Road in Camas, Washington. The contents of this memorandum are based on the recommendations provided in the Green Mountain Master Plan Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA), prepared by Kittelson \& Associates, Inc. and dated June 2014 (provided in Appendix A). The intent of this memorandum is to document the trip generation of Phase 2 and ensure that the mitigation measures provided in the Masterplan TIA are applied at the appropriate phase of development.

## BACKGROUND

The TIA for the Green Mountain Master Plan developed in 2014 provided a near- and long-term analysis for full buildout of the Green Mountain site, including 283-acres of mixed-use development. The master plan includes eight phases of the development, the first of which is currently underway.

Figure 1 illustrates the site vicinity and location of the Master Plan site. A mix of residential and commercial uses is planned in accordance with the zoning. Development of Phase 2 of the site is currently proposed, with the site plan shown in Figure 2. Phase 2 includes development of 230 residential units with an additional access via NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street.


KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES, INC.
transportation enginemring/planning


Plan provided by Olson Engineering, October 25, 2016 Conceptual Site Plan
Camas, Washington

Figure 2

Kittelsion \& ASSOCIATES, INC

## SCOPE OF THE REPORT

This analysis identifies the transportation-related impacts associated with Phase 2 of the proposed Green Mountain Master Plan development and was prepared in accordance with the recommendations outlined in the Master Plan TIA. It documents the following:

- The number of site-generated trips (daily, weekday a.m. peak hour, weekday p.m. peak hour) estimated with Phase 2.
- The number of site-generated trips (daily, weekday a.m. peak hour, weekday p.m. peak hour) previously debited by approved site development applications on the master plan site.
- An accounting of the number of site-generated trips (daily, weekday a.m. peak hour, weekday p.m. peak hour) remaining assuming approval of the Phase 2 subdivision application.
- Evaluation of outstanding mitigation needs (as appropriate consistent with the Master Plan recommendations) involving:
- Need for an eastbound right-turn lane at NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500)
- NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road intersection operations
- Assessment of proportionate share contribution at NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection
- On-site access and circulation.
- Conclusions and recommendations.


## ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

As with the Master Plan TIA, all level of service analyses described in this report were performed in accordance with the procedures stated in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual (Reference 1). A description of level of service and the criteria by which they are determined is presented in Appendix B. Appendix $B$ also indicates how level of service is measured and what is generally considered the acceptable range of level of service.

To ensure that this analysis was based on a reasonable worst-case scenario, the peak 15 minute flow rate during the peak hour analysis periods was used in the evaluation of all intersection levels of service. For this reason, the analysis reflects conditions that are only likely to occur for 15 minutes out of each average peak hour. Traffic conditions during other weekday hours and throughout the weekend will likely be better than those described in this report.

## CURRENT PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Phase 2 consists of 230 single-family detached homes and is expected to be completed by 2018. Phase 2 is primarily located in the southeast portion of the overall site, with access anticipated on NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street via a neighborhood circulator. As part of Phase 2 , two pods adjacent to and northwest of Phase 1 will also be constructed. Phase 2 will be connected to Phase 1 via the extension of N . Boxwood Street, as shown in the site plan in Figure 1. The proposed master plan anticipates two public street neighborhood circulator connections to NE Goodwin Road serving the site in conjunction with two public street neighborhood circulator connections along NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street.

## Trip Generation

Trip generation estimates for the proposed development were generated based on information provided in the standard reference manual Trip Generation, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE - Reference 2). Table 1 summarizes the daily, weekday a.m., and weekday p.m. peak-hour trips for the Phase 2 assumed development. All daily trips have been rounded to the nearest ten and all peak hour trips have been rounded to the nearest five trips.

Table 1: Trip Generation Estimate - Phase 2

| Land Use | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Size | Daily | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Single-Family Detached Housing | 210 | 230 units | 2,190 | 175 | 45 | 130 | 230 | 145 | 85 |

Table 2 summarizes the overall master plan trip generation and then deducts for the Phase $1^{1}$ and Phase 2 trips to summarize the number of net new trips that will remain vested.

[^6]Table 2: Master Plan Trip Generation and Build-out Debiting (Includes Phase 1 and Phase 2)

| Land Use | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Size | Daily | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Apartment | 220 | 536 units | 3,570 | 275 | 55 | 220 | 330 | 215 | 115 |
| Single-Family Detached Housing | 210 | 764 units | 7,270 | 575 | 145 | 430 | 765 | 480 | 285 |
| Total Residential (1,300 units) |  |  | 10,840 | 850 | 200 | 650 | 1,095 | 695 | 400 |
| Internalization (6\% Daily,54\% PM) |  |  | 630 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 30 | 30 |
| Shopping Center <br> Internalization (10\% Daily, 11\% PM) <br> Pass-By Trips (34\%) | 820 | $\begin{gathered} 90,000 \\ \text { square feet } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,340 \\ 630 \\ 1,940 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 145 \\ 0 \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ 0 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ 0 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 560 \\ 60 \\ 170 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 270 \\ 30 \\ 85 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 290 \\ 30 \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Vested Trips |  |  | 17,180 | 995 | 290 | 705 | 1,655 | 965 | 690 |
| Less Internalization |  |  | 1,260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 60 | 60 |
| Less Pass-by trips |  |  | 1,940 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 170 | 85 | 85 |
| Vested Net New Trips for Full Build-out |  |  | 13,980 | 945 | 265 | 680 | 1,365 | 820 | 545 |
| Deduct for Net New Trips for Phase 1 |  |  | 1,915 | 150 | 40 | 110 | 200 | 125 | 75 |
| Deduct for Net New Trips for Phase 2 |  |  | 2,190 | 175 | 45 | 130 | 230 | 145 | 85 |
| Remaining Trips |  |  | 9,885 | 620 | 180 | 440 | 935 | 550 | 385 |

As seen in Table 2, after accounting for Phase 1 and Phase 2; 9,885 daily; 620 weekday a.m. peak hour; and 935 weekday p.m. peak hour trips remain in the master plan approval.

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

The distribution of site-generated trips onto the study area roadway system was estimated utilizing the trip distribution provided in the Master Plan TIA. The majority of trips are anticipated to use the new access on NE Goodwin Road, while a small portion of trips may utilize the neighborhood circulator access on NE Ingle Road developed with Phase 1. Appendix D illustrates the trip assignment.

## EVALUATION OF OUTSTANDING MITIGATION NEEDS

The Master Plan TIA included recommended mitigations for intersections not meeting standards under background and/or total traffic conditions. As part of each phase's transportation compliance letter, it recommended evaluation of the following:

- Need for an eastbound right-turn lane at NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500)
- NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road operations (including traffic signal warrant analysis)
- Assessment of proportionate share contribution at NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street

Updated traffic counts were collected in October 2016 at NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street and NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road to inform an updated operations analysis. The count sheets are provided in Appendix E. The results are discussed below.

## NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500)

The Master Plan TIA recommended that future subdivision applications provide an updated assessment as to the potential need for providing a right-turn taper or lane at NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500), considering both the need for a right-turn taper or lane and delay with the northbound left-turn. Year 2018 total traffic conditions were analyzed using the same approach from the Master Plan TIA, accounting for in-process developments (details are provided in Appendix F), Green Mountain Phase 1, and Green Mountain Phase 2. The lane configuration and projected operations under 2018 total traffic conditions are shown in Exhibit 1. Appendix $G$ contains the 2018 total traffic conditions traffic operations worksheets.

Exhibit 1: NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE 58 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) Total Traffic Lane Configuration and Operations
Lane Configuration Weekday AM Peak Hour Weekday PM Peak Hour


As seen in the exhibit, the minor street northbound left-turn at the intersection of NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) is projected to satisfy WSDOT standards during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours. The intersection is projected to operate at a volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio of 0.46 and LOS C during the a.m. peak hour and v/c ratio of 0.60 and LOS C during the p.m. peak hour. It therefore complies with WSDOT's LOS requirement (LOS C) for non-HSS facilities in rural areas.

Roadways under Washington State jurisdiction are subject to the turn lane guidelines contained in the WSDOT Design Manual (Reference 3). Traffic volumes at the intersection of NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) meet WSDOT's guidelines for an eastbound right-turn lane on NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street under existing conditions and all future scenarios during both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour (consistent with findings from the Master Plan TIA). Construction of a right-turn lane could require right-of-way acquisition and will likely impact one or more private driveways along NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (depending on the length of the deceleration lane constructed).

The table below assesses volumes at the intersection for various horizon year scenarios and the impact of the proposed development.

Table 3: NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) Eastbound Right-Turn Lane Assessment

| Scenario | Eastbound Right- <br> Turn (EBRT) Volume | Meets <br> Guideline? | Development- <br> Added EBRT Trips | Impact of <br> Development |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 Existing Traffic - AM Peak | 156 | Yes | - | - |
| 2016 Existing Traffic - PM Peak | 161 | Yes | - | - |
| 2018 Background Traffic - AM Peak | 178 | Yes | 8 (Phase 1) | $4 \%$ |
| 2018 Background Traffic - PM Peak | 206 | Yes | 25 (Phase 1) | $12 \%$ |
| 2018 Total Traffic - AM Peak | 187 | Yes | 9 (Phase 2) | $5 \%$ |
| 2018 Total Traffic - PM Peak | 235 | Yes | 29 (Phase 2) | $12 \%$ |

The findings in Table 3 are consistent with those presented in the June 2014 TIA. Given that no homes are yet occupied at the development site and no material changes relative to the proposed development plan have occurred since approval of the TIA, no right-turn improvements are recommended in conjunction with Phase 2. Consistent with the master plan approval conditions, future subdivision applications should continue to assess the potential need for providing a right-turn taper or lane at the intersection.

## NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road

In the Master Plan TIA, the intersection of NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road was projected to not meet City of Camas intersection operating standards in the 2029 background conditions during the weekday p.m. peak hour and the 2029 total traffic conditions during both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Therefore, the following series of mitigations were recommended in conjunction with the proposed development:

- Construct an eastbound left-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road with the first Phase 1 trip (currently under construction).
- Construct a westbound right-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road with the $203{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Phase 1 trip (prior to occupancy of $203{ }^{\text {rd }}$ single family home on site). The right-turn lane should provide at least 100 feet of storage. (Phase 1 was reduced to 201 homes, so construction of the westbound right-turn lane has not yet occurred).
- Construct a three-lane roadway section (with center two-way left-turn lane) on NE Goodwin Road along the site frontage in conjunction with standard frontage improvements as adjacent development occurs (applicable to Phase 2 development).
- Upon completion of Phase 1 site development (including construction of the eastbound left-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road with Phase 1), the developer shall monitor the need for installation of a traffic signal with each future subdivision application at the intersection and construct a traffic signal when the intersection no longer satisfies City of Camas performance standard (LOS "D" and v/c of 0.90 or better) and the intersection volumes meet traffic signal warrants (subject to direction from the City of Camas) (discussion provided below).

Operations of the intersection as a stop-controlled intersection are provided in Exhibit 2 assuming Phase 1 and 2 site development as well as approved background traffic. Appendix $G$ contains the 2018 total traffic conditions traffic operations worksheets.

Exhibit 2: NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road 2018 Total Traffic Lane Configuration and Operations

> Lane Configuration Weekday AM Peak Hour Weekday PM Peak Hour


As seen in Exhibit 2, the southbound left-turn at NE Ingle/NE Goodwin Road is projected to operate at a LOS F during the weekday p.m. peak hour with buildout of Phase 2 but operates under capacity with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.80 . Installation of a westbound right-turn lane (previously recommended with development of the $203^{\text {rd }}$ home) is recommended with Phase 2 site development and will improve intersection operations compared to those reported in Exhibit 2. Further, to meet City of Camas standards, provision of a center two-way left-turn lane is recommended on NE Goodwin Road east of NE Ingle Road to accommodate two stage southbound left-turns. Operations with these mitigations are shown in Table 4. Appendix H contains the supporting traffic operations worksheets.

Table 4: NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road 2018 Total Traffic Operations

| Scenario | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay | v/c | Delay | v/c |
| Current Intersection Configuration (refer to Exhibit 2) | 17.8 (LOS C) | 0.23 | 87.9 (LOS F) | 0.80 |
| Provision of a westbound right-turn lane | 17.1 (LOS C) | 0.22 | 75.1 (LOS F) | 0.74 |
| Provision of a TWLTL on NE Goodwin Road | 12.5 (LOS B) | 0.15 | 25.8 (LOS D) | 0.41 |

Note: Operations shown are for the critical movement (southbound left-turn)
TWLTL = Two-way left-turn lane
Recognizing that the intersection satisfies City of Camas performance standards with these recommended mitigations, installation of a traffic signal is not recommended with Phase 2 development. Per the Master Plan conditions of approval, the developer shall monitor the need for installation of a traffic signal with future subdivision applications.

## NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street

The Master Plan TIA identified a proposed proportionate cost sharing methodology to fund future construction of a northbound right-turn lane and a westbound right-turn lane on NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Avenue at NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue, provided in Appendix I. Under this methodology, each weekday p.m. peak hour trip would be assessed a fee of $\$ 319$. Based on the Phase 2 trip assignment (refer to Appendix D), Phase 2 adds 103 trips to the intersection of NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street and therefore should be responsible for contributing $\$ 32,857$ towards future improvements at the intersection.

## ON-SITE CIRCULATION AND OPERATIONS

As seen in Figure 2, Phase 2 is located in the southeast portion of the overall site, with access anticipated on NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street (NE Goodwin Road) via a neighborhood circulator. Phase 2 will be connected to Phase 1 via the extension of N. Boxwood Street, as shown in the site plan in Figure 1. Therefore, some trips were assumed to utilize the neighborhood circulator access on NE Ingle Road developed with Phase 1. The proposed lane configuration for the access on NE $28^{\text {th }}$ Street (NE Goodwin Road) and weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour operations are shown in Exhibit 3. The operations for the access on NE Ingle Road developed with Phase 1 are also shown. Appendix J contains the traffic operations worksheets for the Phase 2 access operations.

Exhibit 3: Site Accesses - 2018 Total Traffic Lane Configuration and Operations


As seen in the exhibit, both accesses are projected to operate acceptably during both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Anticipated queueing is provided in Table 4.

Table 5: Site Access Queueing - 2018 Total Traffic Conditions

| Location | Movement | $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour | Weekday PM Peak Hour |
| NE Goodwin Road/ Site Access | Eastbound left-turn | 25 | 25 |
|  | Westbound right-turn | <25 | <25 |
|  | Southbound left-turn | 25 | 25 |
|  | Southbound right-turn | 25 | 25 |
| NE Ingle Road/ Site Access | Westbound left-turn | 25 | 25 |
|  | Westbound right-turn | 25 | 25 |
|  | Southbound left-turn | 25 | 25 |

As seen in table three, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues are anticipated to be one vehicle or less.

On-site landscaping, signage and any above-ground utilities should be provided appropriately to ensure that adequate sight distance is provided and maintained.

## FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of the transportation impact analysis, Phase 2 of the Green Mountain Master Plan can be developed while maintaining acceptable levels of service and safety at the study intersections without any required off-site mitigations. The primary findings and recommendations of this study are summarized below.

## Trip Generation

- Phase 2 includes 230 single family homes and is estimated to generate 2,190 daily trips, 175 net new a.m. peak hour trips, and 230 net new p.m. peak hour trips.
- After accounting for Phase 1 and Phase 2; 9,885 daily; 620 weekday a.m. peak hour; and 935 weekday p.m. peak hour trips remain in the master plan approval.


## NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500)

- Consistent with prior analysis, the intersection of NE $199^{\text {th }}$ Avenue/NE $58^{\text {th }}$ Street (SR 500) continues to satisfy WSDOT's guidelines for a right-turn lane on the eastbound approach under existing conditions and all future scenarios during both the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.
- Given the lack of crash history related to eastbound right-turns and the relatively small impact of Phase 2 (nine eastbound right-turn trips during the weekday a.m.
peak hour, 29 eastbound right-turn trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour), no improvements are recommended in conjunction with Phase 2.
- In the future, the provision of a right-turn taper or lane could be considered if suggested by the crash history at the intersection or intersection operations.


## NE Ingle Road/NE Goodwin Road

- The southbound left-turn at NE Ingle/NE Goodwin Road is projected to operate at a LOS F and below capacity with buildout of Phase 2 .
- Construction of a westbound right-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road with at least 100 feet of storage and provision of a two-way left-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road east of NE Ingle Road are recommended with Phase 2 development. With these mitigations in place, the intersection satisfies City of Camas operating standards.


## NE $192{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street

- Phase 2 is forecast to add 103 weekday p.m. peak hour trips to the intersection of NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street. This equates to a proportionate cost share of $\$ 32,857$ ( $\$ 391$ per trip) based on the proposed methodology presented in the Master Plan TIA.


## Recommendations

- The following should be provided in conjunction with site development:
- Construction of a three-lane roadway section (with center two-way left-turn lane) on NE Goodwin Road along the site frontage in conjunction with standard frontage improvements.
- Construction of a westbound right-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road at NE Ingle Road with at least 100 feet of storage and provision of a two-way left-turn lane on NE Goodwin Road east of NE Ingle Road.
- Contribution of $\$ 32,857$ towards future improvements at NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- On-site and off-site landscaping and any above ground utilities at the site-access driveways and internal roadways should be provided appropriately to ensure that adequate sight-distance is maintained.

We trust this letter adequately addresses the traffic impacts associated with the proposed Green Mountain Master Plan Phase 2 site development. Please contact us if you have any questions or comments regarding the contents of this report or the analysis performed.

## REFERENCES

1. Transportation Research Board 2000. Highway Capacity Manual. 2000.
2. Institute of Transportation Engineers. Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition. 2012.
3. Washington State Department of Transportation. Design Manual. July 2013.


## Appendix C Phase 3 Trip Assignment






## Appendix D Traffic Counts




## Appendix E In-Process Developments

## Kelly Laustsen

## From: <br> Sent: <br> To: <br> Cc: <br> Subject:

Curleigh (Jim) Carothers [jcarothers@cityofcamas.us](mailto:jcarothers@cityofcamas.us) Monday, May 15, 2017 11:58 AM
Kelly Laustsen
Norm Wurzer
FW: In process traffic for Green Mountain Phase 3

Kelly,
Here is the list that you requested. Do you have the trip distribution information for all of the below listed developments?

James E. Carothers, P.E.
Engineering Manager/City Engineer

616 NE 4th Avenue
Camas, WA 98607
360-817-7230
360-834-1535 FAX
jcarothers@cityofcamas.us

From: Norm Wurzer
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 3:31 PM
To: Curleigh (Jim) Carothers [jcarothers@cityofcamas.us](mailto:jcarothers@cityofcamas.us)
Cc: Wes Heigh [WHeigh@cityofcamas.us](mailto:WHeigh@cityofcamas.us)
Subject: RE: In process traffic for Green Mountain Phase 3

Curleigh,
Please let me know if you need additional.

| Name | \% build-out Oct 2016 | \% build-out May 2017 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lake Hills | $60 \%$ | Same |
| Two Creeks | $50 \%$ | Same |
| Summit at Columbia Vista | $82 \%$ | Same |
| Parker Village | $45 \%$ | Same |
| Hills at Round Lake | $50 \%$ | Same |
| North Hills Subdivision | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Brady Rd Sub (Kates Cove) | $86 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Deerhaven Subdivision | $22 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Hadley's Glen | $73 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Windust | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Fisher Crk Camp. Bldgs 1,2\&3 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ (building 4 proposed) |
| CJ Dens | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

```
From: Curleigh (Jim) Carothers
Sent: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 10:05 AM
To: Wes Heigh
Cc: Norm Wurzer
Subject: FW: In process traffic for Green Mountain Phase 3
```

Wes,
Are you or Norm able to check on updated in-process traffic for GM PRD Phase 3?

James E. Carothers, P.E.
Engineering Manager/City Engineer

616 NE 4th Avenue
Camas, WA 98607
360-817-7230
360-834-1535 FAX
jcarothers@cityofcamas.us

From: Kelly Laustsen [mailto:klaustsen@kittelson.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 09, 2017 7:14 PM
To: Curleigh (Jim) Carothers [icarothers@cityofcamas.us](mailto:icarothers@cityofcamas.us)
Subject: In process traffic for Green Mountain Phase 3

Hi Curleigh,

We are now working on the Phase 3 Green Mountain study and I wanted to check if there are any changes in the in-process development we assumed for Phase 2. I've attached the appendix from Phase 2 detailing our assumptions.

Best,

Kelly M Laustsen, PE
Senior Engineer

Kittelson \& Associates, Inc.
Transportation Engineering / Planning
503.535.7439 (direct)
214.886.5338 (cell)

From: Curleigh (Jim) Carothers [mailto:jcarothers@cityofcamas.us]
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2016 9:37 AM
To: Kelly Laustsen
Cc: Chris Brehmer; Wes Heigh; Norm Wurzer
Subject: RE: In process traffic for Green Mountain Phase 2

289 Single Family dwelling units.

616 NE 4th Avenue
Camas, WA 98607
360-817-7230
360-834-1535 FAX
jcarothers@cityofcamas.us

From: Kelly Laustsen [mailto:klaustsen@kittelson.com]
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 8:19 AM
To: Curleigh (Jim) Carothers [icarothers@cityofcamas.us](mailto:icarothers@cityofcamas.us)
Cc: Chris Brehmer [CBREHMER@kittelson.com](mailto:CBREHMER@kittelson.com); Wes Heigh [WHeigh@cityofcamas.us](mailto:WHeigh@cityofcamas.us); Norm Wurzer
[NWurzer@cityofcamas.us](mailto:NWurzer@cityofcamas.us)
Subject: RE: In process traffic for Green Mountain Phase 2

Thanks for this information - it is very helpful. What is the unit count/type of the CJ Dens development? We'll use this to estimate the AM trip distribution.

Best,

Kelly M Laustsen
Senior Engineer

Kittelson \& Associates, Inc.
Transportation Engineering / Planning
503.535.7439 (direct)
214.886.5338 (cell)

From: Curleigh (Jim) Carothers [mailto:jcarothers@cityofcamas.us]
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 2:23 PM
To: Kelly Laustsen
Cc: Chris Brehmer; Wes Heigh; Norm Wurzer
Subject: RE: In process traffic for Green Mountain Phase 2

Kelly,
Please see the \% buildout information in the attached email.

Please note the following:

- Lacamas Prairie is in the County. On GoogleEarth, there are 56 housed built in the latest aerial. You may check with Clark County if you want a more updated buildout number.
- It appears as though two buildings have been constructed in Lake 1 Plaza (if I have the right development (between $15^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ east side of SE $\left.192^{\text {nd }}\right)$ ). Vancouver might be able to tell you the $\%$ buildout of this development.
- I notice that you did not have CJ Dens on the list. Please see the attached PM peak hour trip distribution. They preceded Green Mountain PRD with their decision and should be counted as in-process. They have yet to start their development.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

616 NE 4th Avenue
Camas, WA 98607
360-817-7230
360-834-1535 FAX
jcarothers@cityofcamas.us

From: Kelly Laustsen [mailto:klaustsen@kittelson.com]
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:07 PM
To: Curleigh (Jim) Carothers [jcarothers@cityofcamas.us](mailto:jcarothers@cityofcamas.us)
Cc: Chris Brehmer [CBREHMER@kittelson.com](mailto:CBREHMER@kittelson.com)
Subject: In process traffic for Green Mountain Phase 2

Hi Curleigh,

We are working on the supplemental analysis for Phase 2 of the Green Mountain Master Plan we recently discussed with you. Could you provide an update on which of the following in-process developments have been completed since our original TIA and no longer need to be included? We'll plan to use the same growth rates applied in the original TIA.

- Lake Hills
- Two Creeks
- The Summit at Columbia Vista
- Parker Village
- The Hills at Round Lake
- North Hills Subdivision
- Brady Road Subdivision
- Deerhaven Subdivision
- Hadley’s Glen
- Millshore Downs
- Fisher Creek Campus
- Lacamas Prairie
- $192^{\text {nd }}$ Plaza West

Thanks!

Kelly M Laustsen
Senior Engineer

```
Kittelson & Associates, Inc.
Transportation Engineering / Planning
6 1 0 \text { SW Alder St, Suite } 7 0 0
Portland, Oregon 97205
503.228.5230
503.535.7439 (direct)
214.886.5338 (cell)
```

NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: This e-mail account is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this email account may be a public record. Accordingly, this e-mail, in whole or in part may be subject to disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any claim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party.



## Appendix F 2022 Total Traffic Conditions Worksheets

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | -1 |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 155 | 202 | 11 | 288 | 192 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 155 | 202 | 11 | 288 | 192 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 23 | 6 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 50 |
| Mvmt Flow | 182 | 238 | 13 | 339 | 226 | 6 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 103 | 129 | 332 | 68 | 91 | 291 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 103 | 129 | 332 | 68 | 91 | 291 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 200 | - | - | 100 | 50 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 10 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 111 | 139 | 357 | 73 | 98 | 313 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | -1 |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 268 | 265 | 11 | 183 | 242 | 11 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 268 | 265 | 11 | 183 | 242 | 11 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 305 | 301 | 13 | 208 | 275 | 13 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | F' |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 289 | 436 | 259 | 103 | 116 | 203 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 289 | 436 | 259 | 103 | 116 | 203 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 200 | - | - | 100 | 50 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 325 | 490 | 291 | 116 | 130 | 228 |



## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101 [Weekday AM PeakHour]

NE 199th/58th
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Deman Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | f Queue Distance ft | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed mph |
| South: NE 199th St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | L2 | 209 | 5.0 | 0.204 | 10.9 | LOS B | 1.0 | 25.6 | 0.37 | 0.66 | 34.0 |
| 18 | R2 | 5 | 50.0 | 0.204 | 6.5 | LOS A | 1.0 | 25.6 | 0.37 | 0.66 | 32.0 |
| Appr |  | 214 | 6.1 | 0.204 | 10.8 | LOS B | 1.0 | 25.6 | 0.37 | 0.66 | 34.0 |
| East: NE 58th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 12 | 20.0 | 0.315 | 11.6 | LOS B | 1.8 | 46.2 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 35.9 |
| 6 | T1 | 313 | 5.0 | 0.315 | 5.1 | LOS A | 1.8 | 46.2 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 36.4 |
| Approach |  | 325 | 5.6 | 0.315 | 5.4 | LOS A | 1.8 | 46.2 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 36.4 |
| West: NE 58th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 212 | T1R2 | 168 | 23.0 | 0.339 | 4.1 | LOS A | 2.1 | 58.8 | 0.12 | 0.41 | 37.4 |
|  |  | 220 | 6.0 | 0.339 | 4.0 | LOS A | 2.1 | 58.8 | 0.12 | 0.41 | 36.5 |
| Approach |  | 388 | 13.4 | 0.339 | 4.0 | LOS A | 2.1 | 58.8 | 0.12 | 0.41 | 36.9 |
| All Vehicles |  | 927 | 9.0 | 0.339 | 6.1 | LOS A | 2.1 | 58.8 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 36.0 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
LOS F will result if $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1$ irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC | Processed: Wednesday, September 27, 2017 11:18:10 PM
Project: \1kittelson.com\fs\H_Projects\21\21282-Green Mountain Phase 3\199th\&58th Intersection\199th\&58th roundabout updated.sip7

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101 [Weekday PM PeakHour]

NE 199th/58th
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Dema Total veh/h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lows } \\ & \text { HV } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue <br> Distance <br> ft | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed mph |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | L2 | 263 | 4.0 | 0.269 | 11.4 | LOS B | 1.4 | 35.5 | 0.46 | 0.70 | 33.9 |
| 18 | R2 | 12 | 0.0 | 0.269 | 5.4 | LOS A | 1.4 | 35.5 | 0.46 | 0.70 | 33.0 |
| Appr |  | 275 | 3.8 | 0.269 | 11.2 | LOS B | 1.4 | 35.5 | 0.46 | 0.70 | 33.9 |
| East: NE 58th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 12 | 0.0 | 0.211 | 11.0 | LOS B | 1.1 | 28.9 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 36.5 |
| 6 | T1 | 199 | 6.0 | 0.211 | 5.3 | LOS A | 1.1 | 28.9 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 36.3 |
| Approach |  | 211 | 5.7 | 0.211 | 5.6 | LOS A | 1.1 | 28.9 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 36.3 |
| West: NE 58th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | T1 | 291 | 4.0 | 0.451 | 3.9 | LOS A | 3.4 | 86.8 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 37.8 |
| 12 | R2 | 288 | 2.0 | 0.451 | 4.0 | LOS A | 3.4 | 86.8 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 36.6 |
| Appro |  | 579 | 3.0 | 0.451 | 3.9 | LOS A | 3.4 | 86.8 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 37.2 |
| All Ve | cles | 1065 | 3.7 | 0.451 | 6.1 | LOS A | 3.4 | 86.8 | 0.27 | 0.50 | 36.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
LOS F will result if $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1$ irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 6).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC | Processed: Wednesday, September 27, 2017 11:20:41 PM
Project: \1kittelson.com\fs\H_Projects\21\21282-Green Mountain Phase 3\199th\&58th Intersection\199th\&58th roundabout updated.sip7


[^7]Synchro 9 Report



[^8]Synchro 9 Report


## Appendix G Signal Warrant Worksheets

Signal Warrant Assessment

| Project \#: | 21282 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Name: | Gr Mt Phase 3 |
| Analyst: | KML |
| Date: | $9 / 29 / 2017$ |
| Intersection: | Ingle/Goodwin |
| Scenario: | 2022 TT Volumes |

Volume Adjustment Factor =
North-South Approach
East-West Approach =
Major Street Thru Lanes =
Minor Street Thru Lanes =
peed > 40 mph ?
Population < 10,000
Warrant Factor
Peak Hour or Daily Count?
Note: Add"I data input on sheet "Warrant 3"

| Warrant Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Warrant | Name | Analyzed? | Met? |  |  |
| \#1 | Eight-Highest | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| \#2 | Four-Hour | Yes | Yes | *This signal warrant shall be applied only in unusual cases, such as office complexes, manufacturing plants, industrial complexes, or high-occupancy vehicle facilities that attract or discharge large numbers of vehicles over a short time. |  |
| \#3 | Peak Hour | Yes | Yes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Select Type Of Major Street Approach From Dropdown Menu |  |  |  | Rural Minor Arterial |
|  | Select Type Of Minor Street Approach From Dropdown Menu |  |  |  | Rural Major Collector |

Note: traffic volume profile for weekday (if weekend is desired, tab "vol profile" needs to be adjusted

| Hour |  | Major Street |  | Minor Street |  | Major St. <br> Adj. Factor | Minor St. Adj. Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin | End | EB | WB | NB | SB |  |  |
| 5:00 PM | 6:00 PM | 725 | 362 | 0 | 218 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2nd | Highest Hour | 717 | 358 | 0 | 194 | 0.99 | 0.89 |
| 3rd | Highest Hour | 652 | 325 | 0 | 168 | 0.90 | 0.77 |
| 4th | Highest Hour | 587 | 293 | 0 | 166 | 0.81 | 0.76 |
| 5th | Highest Hour | 489 | 244 | 0 | 147 | 0.67 | 0.68 |
| 6th | Highest Hour | 481 | 240 | 0 | 145 | 0.66 | 0.67 |
| 7th | Highest Hour | 456 | 228 | 0 | 140 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
| 8th | Highest Hour | 407 | 203 | 0 | 129 | 0.56 | 0.59 |
| 9th | Highest Hour | 147 | 73 | 0 | 28 | 0.20 | 0.13 |
| 10th | Highest Hour | 391 | 195 | 0 | 117 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| 11th | Highest Hour | 375 | 187 | 0 | 115 | 0.52 | 0.53 |
| 12th | Highest Hour | 367 | 183 | 0 | 115 | 0.51 | 0.53 |
| 13th | Highest Hour | 358 | 179 | 0 | 112 | 0.49 | 0.52 |
| 14th | Highest Hour | 358 | 179 | 0 | 94 | 0.49 | 0.43 |
| 15th | Highest Hour | 293 | 146 | 0 | 91 | 0.40 | 0.42 |
| 16th | Highest Hour | 253 | 126 | 0 | 65 | 0.35 | 0.30 |
| 17th | Highest Hour | 212 | 106 | 0 | 65 | 0.29 | 0.30 |
| 18th | Highest Hour | 163 | 81 | 0 | 44 | 0.22 | 0.20 |
| 19th | Highest Hour | 147 | 73 | 0 | 28 | 0.20 | 0.13 |
| 20th | Highest Hour | 98 | 49 | 0 | 23 | 0.13 | 0.11 |
| 21st | Highest Hour | 49 | 24 | 0 | 12 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| 22nd | Highest Hour | 41 | 20 | 0 | 9 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| 23 rd | Highest Hour | 24 | 12 | 0 | 9 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| 24th | Highest Hour | 24 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 0.03 | 0.03 |



KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES, INC.
610 SW Alder, Suite 700
Portland, Oregon 97205
(503) 228-5230
21282
Gr Mt Phase 3
KML
9/29/2017
C:\Users\Klaustsen\AppData\LocaI\Mıcrosott\Wındows

\Temporary Internet Files\Content.MSO\[Copy of Signal
Warrant Analvsis goodwin\&ingle.xIslData Input
Ingle/Goodwin
2022 TT Volumes

| Project \#: | 21282 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Name: | Gr Mt Phase 3 |
| Analyst: | KML |
| Date: | $9 / 29 / 2017$ |
| File: | C:\Users\Klaustsen\AppUata\Local\MIcrosott\Windows |
|  | \Temporary Internet Files\Content.MSO $\backslash$ [Copy of Signal |
|  | Warrant Analvsis goodwin\&ingle.xlslData Input |
| Intersection: | Ingle/Goodwin |
| Scenario: | 2022 TT Volumes |


|  | Warrant Summary |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Warrant | Name | Analyzed? | Met? |
| $\# 1$ | Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume | Yes | Yes |
| $\# 2$ | Four-Hour Vehicular volume | Yes | Yes |
| $\# 3$ | Peak Hour | Yes | Yes |
| $\# 4$ | Pedestrian Volume | No | - |
| $\# 5$ | School Crossing | No | - |
| $\# 6$ | Coordinated Signal System | No | - |
| $\# 7$ | Crash Experience | No | - |
| $\# 8$ | Roadway Network | No | - |

## Input Parameters

| Volume Adjustment Factor $=$ | 1.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| North-South Approach $=$ | Minor |
| East-West Approach = | Major |
| Major Street Thru Lanes = | 1 |
| Minor Street Thru Lanes = | 1 |
| Speed > 40 mph? | Yes |
| Population < 10,000? | No |
| Warrant Factor | $70 \%$ |
| Peak Hour or Daily Count? | Peak Hour |
|  |  |
| Major Street: 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $81 \%$ |
| Major Street: 8th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $56 \%$ |
| Minor Street: 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $76 \%$ |
| Minor Street: 8th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $59 \%$ |


| Warrant \#1 - Eight Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Warrant <br> Factor | Condition | Major Street <br> Requirement | Minor Street <br> Requirement | Hours That <br> Condition Is <br> Met | Condition for <br> Warrant <br> Factor Met? | Signal Warrant <br> Met? |
| $100 \%$ | A | 500 | 150 | 4 | No | No |
|  | B | 750 | 75 | 4 | No |  |
| $80 \%$ | A | 400 | 120 | 8 | Yes | Yes |
|  | B | 600 | 60 | 8 | Yes |  |
|  | A | 350 | 105 | 12 | Yes | Yes |
|  | B | 525 | 53 | 13 | Yes |  |




Volume Plot


Volume Plot


100\% Warrant Met

Number of lanes for moving traficic on each approach (Major Street)
Mumber of lanes for moving traffic on each approach (Minor Street)
Numberant factor
Row Index for VLookup

| $\underset{\substack{\text { Combined } \\ \text { Major Street }}}{\text { and }}$ | Calculations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Higher Minor Street | Major Plus Minor | Hourly Rank | Condition A |  |  | Condition B |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% |
| 1087 | 218 | 1305 | 1 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 1075 | 194 | 1269 | 2 | yes | Yes | yes | Yes | yes | Yes |
| 977 | 168 | 1145 | 3 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 879 | 166 | 1045 | 4 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 733 | 147 | 880 | 5 | N | Yes | Yes | N | Yes | Yes |
| 721 | 145 | 866 | 6 | N | Yes | Yes | N | Yes | Yes |
| 684 | 140 | 824 | 7 | N | Yes | Yes | N | Yes | Yes |
| 611 | 129 | 739 | 8 | N | Yes | yes | N | yes | Yes |
| 220 | 28 | 248 | 18 | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 586 | 117 | 703 | 9 | N | N | Yes | N | N | Yes |
| 562 | 115 | 676 | 10 | N | N | yes | N | N | Yes |
| 550 | 115 | 664 | 11 | N | N | Yes | N | N | Yes |
| 537 | 112 | 650 | 12 | N | N | Yes | N | N | Yes |
| 537 | 94 | 631 | 13 | N | N | N | N | N | Yes |
| 440 | 91 | 531 | 14 | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 379 | 65 | 444 | 15 | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 318 | 65 | 383 | 16 | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 244 | 44 | 289 | 17 | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 220 | 28 | 248 | 18 | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 147 | 23 | 170 | 20 | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 73 | 12 | 85 | 21 | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 61 | 9 | 70 | 22 | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 37 | 9 | 46 | 23 | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| 37 | 7 | 44 | 24 | N | N | N | N | N | N |



Vehicles per hour on major street ( $100 \%$ volume)
Vehicles per hour on major street ( $80 \%$ volume)
ehicles per hour on higher-volume minor-street approach ( $100 \%$ Volunne)
vehicles per hour on higher-volume minor-street approach ( $80 \%$ volume Vehicles per hour on higher-volume minor-street approach ( $70 \%$ volume)
Vehicles per hour on majo street (100\% Volume) vehicles per hour on major street ( $80 \%$ Volume)
thicles per hour on higher-volume minor-street approach ( $100 \%$ Volume) Vehicles per hour on higher-volume minor-street approach ( $80 \%$ volume)
Vehicles per hour on higher-volume minor-street approach ( $70 \%$ Volume)


Is Warrant \#1 met based on the applicable warrant factor?

| Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin | End | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| 5:00 PM | 6:00 PM | 725 | 362 | 0 | 218 |
| 2nd | Highest Hour | 717 | 358 | 0 | 194 |
| 3 rd | Highest Hour | 652 | 325 | 0 | 168 |
| 4th | Highest Hour | 587 | 293 | 0 | 166 |
| 5th | Highest Hour | 489 | 244 | 0 | 147 |
| 6th | Highest Hour | 481 | 240 | 0 | 145 |
| 7th | Highest Hour | 456 | 228 | 0 | 140 |
| 8th | Highest Hour | 407 | 203 | 0 | 129 |
| 9th | Highest Hour | 147 | 73 | 0 | 28 |
| 10th | Highest Hour | 391 | 195 | 0 | 117 |
| 11th | Highest Hour | 375 | 187 | 0 | 115 |
| 12th | Highest Hour | 367 | 183 | 0 | 115 |
| 13th | Highest Hour | 358 | 179 | 0 | 112 |
| 14th | Highest Hour | 358 | 179 | 0 | 94 |
| 15th | Highest Hour | 293 | 146 | 0 | 91 |
| 16th | Highest Hour | 253 | 126 | 0 | 65 |
| 17th | Highest Hour | 212 | 106 | 0 | 65 |
| 18th | Highest Hour | 163 | 81 | 0 | 44 |
| 19th | Highest Hour | 147 | 73 | 0 | 28 |
| 20th | Highest Hour | 98 | 49 | 0 | 23 |
| 21st | Highest Hour | 49 | 24 | 0 | 12 |
| 22nd | Highest Hour | 41 | 20 | 0 | 9 |
| 23rd | Highest Hour | 24 | 12 | 0 | 9 |
| 24th | Highest Hour | 24 | 12 | 0 | 7 |

Calculations

| Combined <br> Major Street | Higher Minor Street | Threshold | Is Threshold Met? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1087 | 218 | 60 | Yes |
| 1075 | 194 | 60 | Yes |
| 977 | 168 | 60 | Yes |
| 879 | 166 | 60 | Yes |
| 733 | 147 | 69 | Yes |
| 721 | 145 | 71 | Yes |
| 684 | 140 | 76 | Yes |
| 611 | 129 | 91 | Yes |
| 220 | 28 | 249 | No |
| 586 | 117 | 97 | Yes |
| 562 | 115 | 103 | Yes |
| 550 | 115 | 107 | Yes |
| 537 | 112 | 110 | Yes |
| 537 | 94 | 110 | No |
| 440 | 91 | 144 | No |
| 379 | 65 | 169 | No |
| 318 | 65 | 197 | No |
| 244 | 44 | 235 | No |
| 220 | 28 | 249 | No |
| 147 | 23 | 294 | No |
| 73 | 12 | 343 | No |
| 61 | 9 | 351 | No |
| 37 | 9 | 369 | No |
| 37 | 7 | 369 | No |


| Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approach (Major Street) | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approach (Minor Street) | 1 |
| Warrant Factor | $70 \%$ |
| Row Index for VLOOKUP | 5 |

## Lookup Table

| Index | Major Street | Minor Street | Break Point | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | x | c | alt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1110 | 0.00027 | 0.73003 | 557.978 | 80 |
| 2 | 2 or more | 1 | 1310 | 0.00023 | 0.73144 | 643.445 | 80 |
| 3 | 2 or more | 2 or more | 1280 | 0.00031 | 0.97877 | 858.973 | 115 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 or more | 1110 | 0.00023 | 0.73144 | 643.445 | 115 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 790 | 0.00044 | 0.76930 | 396.803 | 60 |
| 6 | 2 or more | 1 | 930 | 0.00037 | 0.76954 | 457.134 | 60 |
| 7 | 2 or more | 2 or more | 860 | 0.00049 | 1.03083 | 614.734 | 80 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 or more | 790 | 0.00037 | 0.76954 | 457.134 | 80 |

Is Warrant \#2 met based on the applicable warrant factor?




| Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour |  | Major Street |  | Minor Street |  |
| Begin | End | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| 5:00 PM | 6:00 PM | 725 | 362 | 0 | 218 |
| 2nd | Highest Hour | 717 | 358 | 0 | 194 |
| 3rd | Highest Hour | 652 | 325 | 0 | 168 |
| 4th | Highest Hour | 587 | 293 | 0 | 166 |
| 5th | Highest Hour | 489 | 244 | 0 | 147 |
| 6th | Highest Hour | 481 | 240 | 0 | 145 |
| 7th | Highest Hour | 456 | 228 | 0 | 140 |
| 8th | Highest Hour | 407 | 203 | 0 | 129 |
| 9th | Highest Hour | 147 | 73 | 0 | 28 |
| 10th | Highest Hour | 391 | 195 | 0 | 117 |
| 11th | Highest Hour | 375 | 187 | 0 | 115 |
| 12th | Highest Hour | 367 | 183 | 0 | 115 |
| 13th | Highest Hour | 358 | 179 | 0 | 112 |
| 14th | Highest Hour | 358 | 179 | 0 | 94 |
| 15th | Highest Hour | 293 | 146 | 0 | 91 |
| 16th | Highest Hour | 253 | 126 | 0 | 65 |
| 17th | Highest Hour | 212 | 106 | 0 | 65 |
| 18th | Highest Hour | 163 | 81 | 0 | 44 |
| 19th | Highest Hour | 147 | 73 | 0 | 28 |
| 20th | Highest Hour | 98 | 49 | 0 | 23 |
| 21st | Highest Hour | 49 | 24 | 0 | 12 |
| 22 nd | Highest Hour | 41 | 20 | 0 | 9 |
| 23 rd | Highest Hour | 24 | 12 | 0 | 9 |
| 24th | Highest Hour | 24 | 12 | 0 | 7 |

Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approach (Major Street)
Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approach (Minor Street)
Warrant Factor
Row Index for VLOOKUP

| Calculations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined Major Street | Higher Minor Street | Threshold | Is Threshold Met? |
| 1087 | 218 | 75 | Yes |
| 1075 | 194 | 75 | Yes |
| 977 | 168 | 81 | Yes |
| 879 | 166 | 96 | Yes |
| 733 | 147 | 130 | Yes |
| 721 | 145 | 134 | Yes |
| 684 | 140 | 145 | No |
| 611 | 129 | 171 | No |
| 220 | 28 | 370 | No |
| 586 | 117 | 180 | No |
| 562 | 115 | 190 | No |
| 550 | 115 | 195 | No |
| 537 | 112 | 200 | No |
| 537 | 94 | 200 | No |
| 440 | 91 | 245 | No |
| 379 | 65 | 276 | No |
| 318 | 65 | 310 | No |
| 244 | 44 | 354 | No |
| 220 | 28 | 370 | No |
| 147 | 23 | 419 | No |
| 73 | 12 | 472 | No |
| 61 | 9 | 482 | No |
| 37 | 9 | 500 | No |
| 37 | 7 | 500 | No |



1
1
$70 \%$
5

| Lookup Table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index | Major Street | Minor Street | Break Point | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | x | c | alt |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1460 | 0.00021 | 0.74072 | 734.125 | 100 |  |
| 2 | 2 or more | 1 | 1760 | 0.00015 | 0.67328 | 809.779 | 100 | - |
| 3 | 2 or more | 2 or more | 1690 | 0.00023 | 0.93419 | 1081.658 | 150 | \% |
| 4 | 1 | 2 or more | 1450 | 0.00015 | 0.67328 | 809.779 | 150 | $\cdots$ |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1040 | 0.00035 | 0.80083 | 529.197 | 75 |  |
| 6 | 2 or more | 1 | 1160 | 0.00025 | 0.73111 | 586.099 | 75 | H |
| 7 | 2 or more | 2 or more | 1130 | 0.00033 | 0.95887 | 762.050 | 100 | \% |
| 8 | 1 | 2 or more | 1020 | 0.00025 | 0.73111 | 586.099 | 100 | र |

Is Warrant \#3 met based on the applicable warrant factor?

| Condition A Criteria |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB | SB |
| Total Stopped Delay Per Vehicle On Minor Approach (sec) | 0.0 | 24.0 |
| Number Of Lanes On Minor Street Approach | 0 | 1 |
| Vehicle-Hours Of Stopped Delay On Minor Approach | 0.00 | 1.45 |
|  | No | No |
| Volume on Minor Street Approach During Same Hour | 0 | 218 |
|  | No | Yes |
| Total Entering Volume On All Approaches During Same Hour | 1304.5 |  |
| Number of Approaches to Intersection | 3 |  |

Is Warrant \#3 met based on Condition A criteria?



## Appendix H Proportion Share Calculations at NE $192^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue/NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street

## Proposed Proportionate Share Contribution at NE 192nd Avenue/NE 13th Avenue

## Cost Estimate:

| Item | Unit Cost | Length | Cost | Notes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound right-turn lane and <br> westbound right-turn lane | $\$ 280,000$ | 1 | $\$$ | 280,000 | Cost estimate attached. |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Cost estimate may not account for all ROW impacts

## Proportionate Share Calculation:

| Intersection volume without development (2029 Background Scenario) | 1901 | Note: Assumed proportion of total volume by movement stays consistent with 2029 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection volume with development (2029 Total Traffic Scenario) | 2346 |  |
| Trips added by development (2346-1901) | 445 |  |
| Capacity of intersection with improvement (see attached Synchro output sheet) | 2487 |  |
| Additional volume accomodated with improvements (2487-1901) | 586 |  |
| Proportionate share cost per trip (\$280,000/586) | \$ 478 |  |
| Proportionate share of capacity used by development (445/586) | 0.759 |  |
| Proposed proportionate share contribution (\$478 per trip * 445 trips) | \$ 212,600 |  |

## OLSON ENGINEERING INC.

1111 BROADWAY, VANCOUVER, WA 98660 (360) 695-1385

## Green Mtn. - Right Turn Lane @ NE 192nd Avenue \& NE 13th Street - Cost Estimate (Option I) North Bound Right \& West Bound Right

| Item \# | Description | Unit Of Measure | Quantity |  | Unit Price |  | Total <br> Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mobilization | LS | 1 | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | 10,000.00 |
| 2 | Clearing \& Grubbing (Remove Hedge \& Trees, etc.) | LS | 1 | \$ | 2,400.00 | \$ | 2,400.00 |
| 3 | Stripping 6" \& Haul Off | CY | 235 | \$ | 9.00 | \$ | 2,115.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 14,515.00 |
| DEMOLITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | AC Removal (Exist'g Edge Road \& Exist'g Driveways To Ba | SF | 2,070 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 2,070.00 |
| 5 | Remove Exist'g Driveway Culvert ( 24 LF) | LS | 1 | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | 300.00 |
| 6 | Relocate Exist'g Mail Boxes | EA | 5 | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | 625.00 |
| 7 | Relocate Exist'g Signs | EA | 3 | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | 375.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 3,370.00 |
| EROSION CONTROL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Silt Fence | LF | 700 | \$ | 1.75 | \$ | 1,225.00 |
| 9 | Hydroseed \& Mulch Right - Of - Way | SF | 14,000 | \$ | 0.30 | \$ | 4,200.00 |
| 10 | Erosion Control Maintenance | LS | 1 | \$ | 1,600.00 | \$ | 1,600.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 7,025.00 |

## SITEWORK

## North Bound Right \& West Bound Right

| 11 | Sawcut |
| :--- | :--- |
| 12 | Mass Grading \& Haul Off |
| 13 | Finish Grade |
| 14 | Geotextile Fabric |
| 15 | $11 / 4$ - Crushed Rock (0.85') |
| 16 | Asphaltic Concrete (0.85') Class $1 / 2^{\prime \prime} 64-22$ HMA |
| 17 | Curb \& Gutter |
| 18 | Sidewalk / Pedestrian Ramp |
| 19 | Detectable Warning Surface |
| 20 | Driveway Drop |
| 21 | Driveway Approach (5) |
| 22 | Pedestrian/Signal Modifications |
| 23 | Traffic Control |

SITEWORK
Pave Existing Driveways To Right - Of -Way

| SF | 1,435 | $\$$ | 1.00 | $\$$ | $1,435.00$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| SF | 1,435 | $\$$ | 0.30 | $\$$ | 430.50 |
| SY | 175 | $\$$ | 0.90 | $\$$ | 157.50 |
| TN | 70 | $\$$ | 20.00 | $\$$ | $1,400.00$ |
| TN | 30 | $\$$ | 135.00 | $\$$ | $4,050.00$ |
|  |  |  | Total | $\$$ | $7,473.00$ |

## STORM

 Stormfilter Catch Basin (2-Cart.) Infiltration Trench (50 LF)| EA | 2 | $\$$ | $12,000.00$ | $\$$ | $24,000.00$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EA | 2 | $\$$ | $2,500.00$ | $\$$ | $5,000.00$ |
|  |  |  | Total | $\$$ | $29,000.00$ |

## STRIPING \& SIGNAGE

| Solid Double Yellow Line | LF | 470 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 470.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solid White Line | LF | 810 | \$ | 0.50 | \$ | 405.00 |
| White Thermoplastic Stop Bar (Extend Existing) | EA | 1 | \$ | 660.00 | \$ | 660.00 |
| Crosswalk Marking (Extend Existing | EA | 1 | \$ | 750.00 | \$ | 750.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 2,285.00 |
| Subtotal Construction Costs |  |  |  |  | \$ | 207,365.00 |
| Soft Cost (20\%) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 41,473.00 |
| Contingency (15\%) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 31,104.75 |
| Total Construction Costs |  |  |  |  | \$ | 279,942.75 |



| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | 4 | 「' | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Volume (vph) | 252 | 209 | 674 | 428 | 306 | 476 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1595 | 1863 | 1531 | 1787 | 1863 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1595 | 1863 | 1531 | 1787 | 1863 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 293 | 243 | 784 | 498 | 356 | 553 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 65 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 293 | 178 | 784 | 452 | 356 | 553 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 2 |  | 8 | 8 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Turn Type |  | pm+ov |  | pm+ov | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | 6 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 6 |  | 4 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 23.9 | 51.8 | 56.8 | 80.7 | 27.9 | 90.4 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 23.9 | 51.8 | 56.8 | 80.7 | 27.9 | 90.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.64 | 0.22 | 0.72 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 337 | 658 | 843 | 984 | 397 | 1342 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.17 | 0.06 | c0.42 | 0.09 | c0.20 | 0.30 |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.05 |  | 0.21 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.87 | 0.27 | 0.93 | 0.46 | 0.90 | 0.41 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 49.3 | 24.4 | 32.5 | 11.3 | 47.4 | 7.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 19.9 | 0.1 | 16.3 | 0.1 | 21.6 | 0.1 |
| Delay (s) | 69.2 | 24.4 | 48.8 | 11.5 | 69.0 | 7.1 |
| Level of Service | E | C | D | B | E | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 48.9 |  | 34.3 |  |  | 31.3 |

Approach LOS D C C

| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 36.2 | HCM Level of Service | D |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.91 |  | 16.9 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 125.5 | Sum of lost time (s) | D |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $80.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

## AppendixI Phase 3 Access Operations

 Worksheets| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 70 | 17 | 159 | 23 | 6 | 230 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 70 | 17 | 159 | 23 | 6 | 230 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | 100 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 78 | 19 | 177 | 26 | 7 | 256 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 1 | 181 | 1 | 0 | 300 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 1 | 181 | 1 | 0 | 300 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 1 | 201 | 1 | 0 | 333 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 「' | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 11 | 234 | 78 | 20 | 258 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 11 | 234 | 78 | 20 | 258 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | 100 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 51 | 12 | 260 | 87 | 22 | 287 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 1 |  | 1 |  | - |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 1 | 311 | 2 | 0 | 304 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 1 | 311 | 2 | 0 | 304 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 1 | 346 | 2 | 0 | 338 |



## Appendix J Sight Distance Exhibits




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The unit mix for phase 1 and buildout was developed based on a reasonable worst-case scenario. Final development may result in a less-intense mix of residential units.

[^1]:    

[^2]:    
    LEVEL OF SERYVICE (TWSSC)
    NTERSECTIN AVERAGE CONTROL DELAY (SIG/ CRITICAL
    MOVEMENT CONTROL LDELAY (TWSC)
    CRITTALALOULMETOCOAPACITY RATIO
    CRITICAL LOLUMETO-CAPA
    TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL

[^3]:    CM $=\quad$ CRITICAL MOVEMENT (TWSC)
    LOS
    INTERSECTON LEVELOFSE
    
    INTERSECTIN AVERAGG CONTROL DELAY (SIG/ CRITICAL

[^4]:    CM $=\quad$ CRITICAL MOVEMENT (TWSC)
    LOS
    INTERSECTON LEVELOFSE
    
    INTERSECTTN AVERFAGC) CONTROL DELAY (SIG / CRITICAL

[^5]:    KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES, INC.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ While approved for 215 units, Phase 1 now consists of 201 units. The updated trip totals are shown in Table 2 with the full trip generation provided in Appendix $C$.

[^7]:    AM 2022 Total Traffic Conditions 10/11/2016 Mitigated - Signal
    KML

[^8]:    AM 2022 Total Traffic Conditions 10/11/2016 Mitigated - Signal without WBR
    KML

