

Exhibit 22
Camas Crossing Proposal (CPA19-04)

From: [Kevin Bare](#)
To: [Community Development Email](#); [Robert Maul](#); [Sarah Fox](#); [Phil Bourquin](#); [Curleigh \(Jim\) Carothers](#); [sbare17@gmail.com](#); [mike.fenimore](#); [johnvisser@comcast.net](#); [Peter Capell](#); [Kevin Bare](#); [kbare747@gmail.com](#)
Subject: Camas Crossing Comprehensive Plan Amendment
Date: Thursday, May 16, 2019 4:50:18 PM

Hi Sarah,

What are the job creation/economic development goals in the current Comprehensive plan or 20 year plan for City of Camas?

What are the housing goals in the current Comprehensive plan or 20 year plan for City of Camas?

What is current status of meeting our job creation goals, or where are we in relation to plan?

What is current status of meeting our housing goals, or where are we in relation to plan?

Of all the Plan Amendments that are being considered:

How many of the proposals are asking to convert Commercially zoned land to multi-family high or low density housing?

How many proposals are requesting to convert single family dwelling or multi-family high or low to Commercial Zoning?

The Developer of Camas Crossing worked hard with Mayor Paul Dennis to change the boundary of Camas and also convert the annexed land from single family to commercial. Also, the parcel of land(986028-434/435) he is asking to change from single family per acre is currently protected by CCR's supporting single family dwelling per acre. Similarly you have nearby homes in Country Ridge estates that are also protected by CCR's supporting single family dwelling per acre. Including Lot 1 of Country Ridge Estates which is part of the developer's commercially zoned land.

After you provide the above answer to my questions, please also answer this question:

What is the basis for the City of Camas to convert Commercial land to multi-family high density to the detriment of the City's economic and job creation goals, while changing the historic design of the area's single family dwellings per acre, and also going against the directional goals of the developer and the developer's history of converting single family zoned land to Commercial?

Thank you in advance for answering the above questions via email or at the next hearing.

Please don't approve or vote yes to every single amendment that converts the City's valuable commercial land to Multi Family High Density. Instead award David with allowing him to keep his land Commercial and award the current residents with keeping their neighborhoods the same.

Sincerely, Kevin Bare