





**Table 9: Camas UGA TIF Improvements** 

Element	Improvement Project	Improvement	Total Construction Project Cost (millions)	TIF Eligible Cost (millions)		
A	Goodwin Road (Lacamas Creek to Ingle Road)	Widen from 2 to 5 lanes between Friberg Street and Ingle Road	\$4.9	\$4.5		
В	Goodwin Road (Ingle Road to 232 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue	Widen from 2 lanes to 3 lanes between Ingle Road and 232 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue	\$6.4	\$4.5		
C	Goodwin Road (232 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue to 242 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue	Widen from 2 lanes to 3 lanes between 232 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue and 242 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue	\$3.2	\$0.8		
D	New East-West Collector (extend Ingle Road to 232 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue)	Extend Ingle Road south of Goodwin/28 <sup>th</sup> as a 3 lane road to 232 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue	\$7.4	\$5.1		
Е	Improve 232 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue	Improve 232 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue to 3 lane Collector from NE 28 <sup>th</sup> Street to 9 <sup>th</sup> Street. Includes 2 new roundabouts at intersection with new East-West Collector and at 9 <sup>th</sup> Street	\$7.8	\$4.7		
F .	Improve/Extend 9 <sup>th</sup> Street	Improve 9 <sup>th</sup> Street to 3 lane collector from 232 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue to existing terminus and extend to new 242 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue Extension	\$3.7	\$2.9		
G	Extend 242 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue south to 9 <sup>th</sup> Street	Extend and widen to 3 lanes between 28th to 9th Street	\$9.5	\$4.5		
Н	New East-West Arterial	New 3 lane roadway between 9 <sup>th</sup> Street and SR 500/Everett Street	\$11.5	\$9.0		
I	Widen NE Everett Street	Widen from 2 lanes to 3 lanes between 35 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and the new East-West Arterial	\$4.7	\$3.6		
S	192 <sup>nd</sup> -Goodwin Connector	Camas share (39%) of potential connection between 192 <sup>nd</sup> and Goodwin. Specific project and alignment to be determined. (North proportionate cost only)	\$2.8	\$0.9		
North Ro	oadway Projects		\$61.9	\$40.5		

#### Based on the Adopted Camas 2012 TIF Study Update

Initial Curb-to-Curb + Storm Cost Calculation = \$4.912 Million TIF Study Allotment for 28<sup>th</sup> Street =\$4.5 Million

Calculation of difference is based on using Camas proportionate share of 27% for land outside of UGA. Overall distance of roadway segment "B" = 5,300 lineal feet
Distance of roadway section with land outside the UGA on both sides = 350 lineal feet
Distance of roadway section with land outside the UGA on south side only = 1,000 lineal feet

Cost per lineal foot (L) Calculation: 3,950(C) + 1,000((1+.27)/2)(C) + 350(.27)(C) = \$4.5 Million

3950C + 635C + 95C = 4680C = \$4.5 Million

C\* = \$962 per lineal foot for a full street improvement

\* In 2011 dollars and the 0.60 reduction factor is not applied

James E. Carothers, P.E.
Engineering Manager/City Engineer
City of Camas
616 NE 4th Avenue
Camas, WA 98607
360-817-7230
360-834-1535 FAX
icarothers@cityofcamas.us

# City of Camas TIF Update Cost Estimate Summary

PROJECT ELEMENT: 28th Street Widening between Ingle and 232nd

5300 LF

### **Project Description:**

This project includes widening 28th Street to a 3-lane arterial between Ingle and 232nd Avenue. The 72-foot cross-section includes 3x12' lanes, 2x6' bike lanes and 2x6' sidewalks.

	UNITS	UNIT		ESTIMATEI COST		C-to- Cost	C+Storm
D. Drumont	127200 SF	\$	0.33	\$	41,976	\$	41,976
Remove Pavement	254400 SF	\$	0.25	\$	63,600	\$	63,600
Clear & Grub	0 LF	\$	10.00	\$	-	\$	
Remove Curb	0 SF	\$	1.50	\$		\$	-
Remove Sidewalk	254400 SF	\$	1.25	\$	318,000	\$	318,000
Grading	254400 SF	\$	8.00	\$	2,035,200	\$	2,035,200
Pavement	0 SF	\$	200.00	\$	-	\$	
Pavement Elevated/Subgrade	63600 SF	\$	4.00	\$	254,400		
Sidewalk	10600 LF	\$	14.00	\$	148,400	\$	148,400
Curb and gutter	10600 LF	\$	12.00	\$	127,200		
Landscaping	0 LF	\$	120.00	\$	-		
Wall	5300 LF	\$	105.00	\$	556,500		
Lighting	5300 LF	\$	100.00	\$	530,000	\$	530,000
Full Drainage	0 LF	\$	25.00	\$		\$	. =
Drainage Modifications	0 Driveway	10.00	2,000.00	\$	_		
Driveway Adjustments	0 Unit	,s Ψ .	50,000.00	\$	_	\$	-
Traffic Signal Modification	0 EA	\$	500.00	\$			
Signing and Striping		\$	1.50	\$	31,800	\$	31,800
Signing and Striping	21200 LF	Ψ	1.00	\$	4,107,076	5	3,168,976
SUBTOTAL				Ψ	.,		
			5%	\$	205,354	\$	158,449
Traffic Control			10%		410,708		316,898
Mobiliization			15%		616,061		475,346
Design/Administration/Management			20%	-	821,415		633,795
Contingency			5%		205,354	- 1	158,449
Project Development			0.0%	5	200,00	- \$	-
Sales Tax			0.076	Ψ		Ψ	
	PROJECT COS	т.		\$	6,365,968	\$	4,911,913

DKS Associates 5/7/2012 9:35



## **Recommended TIF Improvements**

The improvements identified to mitigate future growth impacts to the transportation system are listed in Table 9 and shown in Figure 10. Cost estimates were completed for each project, which include all project related costs, with potential right-of-way costs shown separately. The projects are not listed in order of priority. Prioritization should occur in coordination with the CIP process. All TIF improvements include sidewalks for pedestrians, bike lanes for bicyclists, and transit facilities for buses and park-and-riders. This improvement program meets the TIF requirement to establish a nexus between capacity needs and future land use.

The updated TIF project listing, while extensive, is not intended to represent the comprehensive listing of all transportation improvement in Camas. Other transportation improvements (turn lanes, street modernization, traffic calming, bicycle, pedestrian, and transit improvements beyond those programmed) may be built as part of fronting development improvements, SEPA required mitigation, or other processes.

#### Cost Estimates

Cost estimates were developed for each improvement based upon 2011 dollars. Past construction information in the region was utilized as a basis for updates to the unit costs from the previous TIF Update study (2003). Each roadway project was estimated, including the total project cost of the roadway improvement including engineering, construction, and landscaping. In addition, the TIF eligible portion is listed as well. The TIF eligible portion is described later, but generally consists of curb-to-curb plus storm sewer costs. Where projects go outside of the Camas UGA, TIF eligible project costs include only the expected Camas share, based on growth. Potential right-of-way costs are shown separately.

		T																		
orth vs. S	outh Project Analysis													Name to Take	North %	N Orig %	N Dest%	South %	5 Orig %	S Dest
adway Pr	rolact	Direction A	Direction B	Total Trips	Camas Origin	Camas Dest	<b>Total Camas</b>				Dest %	1101-110	North Dest	North Trips 245	41%	45%	34%	59%	55%	
	New 13th/18th Roadway	647	885	1532	772	411	1183	592	39%	25%	13%	349 377	214	296	46%	49%	41%	54%	51%	- 5
	Goodwin betw Friberg & Ingle	660	915	1575	773		1295	648	41%	25%	17%	277	50	164	90%	94%	75%	10%	6%	2
	28th betw Ingle & 232nd	323		668	295		362	181	27%	22%	5%	277		177	95%	98%	82%	5%	2%	1
	28th e/o 232nd	331		600	299		371	186	31%	25%	6%	389		316	83%	92%	72%	17%	8%	2
	New e/w Collector	356		725	421		756	378	52%	`29%	23%			333	85%	94%	73%	15%	6%	2
	232nd Extension (s/o new coll)	321	420	741	442		786		53%	30%	23%	414		360	85%	94%	74%	15%	6%	:
	9th Street	346		733	466	382	848		58%	32%	26%			318	95%	96%	94%	5%	4%	5
	242nd Extension	116		651	539				51%	41%	10%	515 479			75%	87%	61%		13%	5 3
	New e/w Arterial	395		738	550					37%	31%				58%	50%	69%	42%	50%	5 3
	Everett St	88		131	137	102					39%	69			15%	22%		85%	78%	6 9
	Griggs Reservoir collector	116		330	170	225					34%				36%	40%			60%	6
	15th/283rd realignment	77			42						8%				19%	9%			91%	6
	Friberg Rd	350	61	411	258										3%				98%	6
	Camas Meadows realignment	255		599	433										14%				85%	6
	20th/38th Extension	23:		666	185						9%			6					94%	6
	Bybee realignment	12		207	135								7						889	6
	38th Street w/o Parker	33		644	372	2 321					25%				12%				839	6
	38th Street e/o Parker	43		607	307	7 431	738	36	61%	25%	36%	52	34	45	12/	21.11	-			
-	38th Street e/O Tarker	000				55.0				111.5	_		-	-		-				
tersectio	n Project	500000000			1 T					21%	7%	516	5 141	329	83%	86%	73%	17%	149	
	SR 500/242nd/28th			1429						-						73%	64%	30%	279	%
	ingle/Goodwin/28th		J.	1735												93%	74%	15%	79	
	232nd/new e/w collector		41 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	750												6 949	6 75%	14%	69	
	232nd/9th Street	- 4		829												6 739	6 53%	36%	279	%
-	New e/w arterial/Everett			709													6 57%	6 29%	185	%
	Leadbetter/Everett		0	723								-					6 34%	6 63%	615	%
	Camas Meadows/Goodwin			1869													6 16%	6 79%	749	%
.0	Lake/Sierra			1169										-		6 439	6 249	6 67%	579	%
1	Everett/Lake			131	7 81							-					6 119	6 84%	77	%
	14th/SR 500			36								•					6 69	6 90%	82	%
13	6th/Norwood			96										9 6				6 99%	100	%
14	Payne/Pacific Rim			86									4	4 6				6 989	98	%
15	16th/Brady			47										0 10					6 100	%
16	Parker/Pacific Rim	_		79	1 62	25 51	1 113	6 56	8 72	% 409	4 325	/6	<u>U</u>	0 10	1	-				