

From: [Jiri Vasat](#)
To: [Robert Maul](#)
Subject: Re: APPEAL18-04 - errors in response, developer ignored comments for section 8 and 11. Key stakeholders are current residents
Date: Friday, June 8, 2018 2:43:58 PM

Mr. Maul,

Response to our Appeal did not correct mistake in SEPA and ignores comments for several section. It is hard to understand how City of Camas can approve.

1) I am sorry, but response from developer to point 3a again contains error regarding property. Creek can't flow south from the property in question ...unless laws of physics change. This confirm total lack of knowledge of property and superficial attitude to SEPA assessment

2) Developer completely ignores comments in Appeal related to sections 8 (Effect on adjacent properties) and 11 (Light and Glare) of SEPA.
Residents of AG advised solution - limit number of stories in multifamily buildings, and extend "green belts" to same distance for buildings and parking lots on the south side of developement

Leadership of City of Camas should follow its mission statement and re-evaluate its actions.

Who are Key Stakeholders for City officials?

Key stakeholders for City of Camas and Vancouver are current tax paying citizens. Developers for new projects are only subjects. Their contribution to City heritage and and enhancing a high quality of life for its residents is negligible...

-Players have power and high interest. Players are key constituents for City of Camas and Vancouver (current tax paying residents)

- Subject may have high interest in decision, but their interest should not be primary focus for City of Camas & Vancouver (developers, future residents)

Jiri Vasat

■ Players have power and high interest. Players are key constituents for City of Camas and Vancouver (current tax paying residents) ■

- Context setters have not high interest in decision, but relatively high power of influence (EPA, Clark County and WA state government, Environmental Groups)

- Subject may have high interest in decision, but their interest should not be primary focus for City of Camas & Vancouver (developers, future residents)

Jiri Vasat

On Tuesday, June 5, 2018, 3:12:03 PM PDT, Robert Maul <RMaul@cityofcamas.us> wrote:

Good afternoon, Jiri.

Staff has considered your testimony listed in your formal appeal as well as supplemental information provided by the applicant for the SEPA checklist (attached for your reference). The Camas Municipal Code (CMC) contains critical areas ordinances that address impacts to any critical area by the proposed development. Additionally the CMC and design review manual also contains provisions for impacts such as erosion control, wetland buffers, design review, and other development standards. As such, staff will affirm the SEPA of Determination of Non-significance (DNS) for SEPA18-06.

A copy of this email will be added to the record.

Please let me know if you have other questions, or need any additional information.

Regards,

Robert Maul

NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: This e-mail account is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this e-mail account may be a public record. Accordingly, this e-mail, in whole or in part may be subject to disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any claim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party.