## MEMORANDUM

Date: $\quad$ April 24, 2017

To: Heidi Rosenberg
Director, Capital Programs
Camas School District
841 NE $22^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue
Camas WA 98607
From: Frank Charbonneau, PE, PTOE
Subject: $\quad$ NE 232 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at $28^{\text {th }}$ Street Transportation Analysis
FL1750
Lacamas Heights Elementary School
City of Camas
As requested Charbonneau Engineering has reviewed the traffic analysis and subsequent documentation prepared for the Lacamas Heights Elementary School project pertaining to the intersection of NE $232^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue at $28^{\text {th }}$ Street. Previously the study found that no mitigation would be necessary at the failing intersection despite the proposed development adding trips to the failing northbound approach. We have also reviewed the additional documentation presented by the Green Mountain representatives arguing against the District not having to participate in the intersection's mitigation. At this time Charbonneau Engineering is not changing our recommendation and still supports not obligating the District towards improving the intersection.

We would like to point out the following traffic analysis conditions to help clarify the recommendation.

- The year 2018 total traffic scenario results in intersection failure at LOS `F' with 89 seconds delay per vehicle on the northbound stop approach where there is only one approach lane. In this scenario there are 163 left turn vehicles and 142 right turn vehicles. No cars travel straight through the intersection on the northbound approach.
- The northbound left turn traffic represents the critical volume and movement within the approach lane as the LOS analysis finds that without left turn traffic the northbound approach operates with an acceptable LOS 'B' and delay of 11 seconds. Conversely if there were no right turn vehicles and only left turn traffic the intersection fails at LOS ' $F$ ' and 66 seconds delay.
- The elementary school development project will distribute only three trips to the northbound left turn movement that causes the intersection to fail.

Therefore, it is our interpretation of code section $40.350 .020(G)(1)(c)$ that the proposed development shall not be required to mitigate or contribute towards the cost for mitigation at $\mathbf{2 3 2}^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue and $28^{\text {th }}$ Street because less than five peak period trips are added to the movement causing the intersection to fail.

Supporting LOS documentation is attached to this memo.
If you should have any questions, please contact Frank Charbonneau, PE, PTOE at 503.293.1118 or email Frank@CharbonneauEngineer.com.

HCM 2010 TWSC
3: 232nd Ave \& 28th St

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR |  | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 169 | 165 |  | 172 | 295 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 169 | 165 |  | 172 | 295 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free |  | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Yield | Yield | Yield |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |  | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 |  | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 186 | 181 |  | 189 | 324 | 0 | 179 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  |  | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 324 | 0 | 0 |  | 367 | 0 | 0 | 978 | 978 | 276 |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 276 | 276 | - |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 702 | 702 | - |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.13 | - | - |  | 4.11 | - | - | 6.44 | 6.54 | 6.24 |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 5.44 | 5.54 | - |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 5.44 | 5.54 | - |  |  |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.227 | - | - |  | 2.209 | - | - | 3.536 | 4.036 | 3.336 |  |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1230 | - | - |  | 1197 | - | - | 275 | 248 | 758 |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 766 | 678 | - |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 488 | 437 | - |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1230 | - | - |  | 1197 | - | - | 222 | 0 | 758 |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 222 | 0 | - |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 766 | 0 | - |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 394 | 0 | - |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  |  |  | 3.2 |  |  | 89.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 331 | 1230 | - | - | 1197 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.013 | - | - | - | 0.158 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 89.2 | 0 | - | - | 8.6 | 0 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | A | - | - | A | A | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 11.5 | 0 | - | - | 0.6 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | \& |  |  | 4 |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 169 | 165 | 172 | 295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 169 | 165 | 172 | 295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Yield | Yield | Yield |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 186 | 181 | 189 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 4 |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 3.2 | 11 |
| HCM LOS |  | $B$ |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 758 | 1230 | - | -1197 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.206 | - | - | -0.158 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11 | 0 | - | - | 8.6 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | - | - | A | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.8 | 0 | - | - | 0.6 | - | - |

HCM 2010 TWSC
3: 232nd Ave \& 28th St

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 12.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 169 | 165 | 172 | 295 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 169 | 165 | 172 | 295 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Yield | Yield | Yield |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 186 | 181 | 189 | 324 | 0 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLD1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 222 | 1230 | - | -1197 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.807 | - | - | -0.158 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 65.9 | 0 | - | - | 8.6 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | A | - | - | A | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 5.9 | 0 | - | - | 0.6 | - | - |

