

MEMORANDUM

Date: April 24, 2017

To: Heidi Rosenberg

Director, Capital Programs Camas School District 841 NE 22nd Avenue Camas WA 98607

From: Frank Charbonneau, PE, PTOE

Subject: NE 232nd Avenue at 28th Street Transportation Analysis FL1750

Lacamas Heights Elementary School

City of Camas

As requested Charbonneau Engineering has reviewed the traffic analysis and subsequent documentation prepared for the Lacamas Heights Elementary School project pertaining to the intersection of NE 232nd Avenue at 28th Street. Previously the study found that no mitigation would be necessary at the failing intersection despite the proposed development adding trips to the failing northbound approach. We have also reviewed the additional documentation presented by the Green Mountain representatives arguing against the District not having to participate in the intersection's mitigation. At this time Charbonneau Engineering is not changing our recommendation and still supports not obligating the District towards improving the intersection.

We would like to point out the following traffic analysis conditions to help clarify the recommendation.

- The year 2018 total traffic scenario results in intersection failure at LOS `F` with 89 seconds delay per vehicle on the northbound stop approach where there is only one approach lane. In this scenario there are 163 left turn vehicles and 142 right turn vehicles. No cars travel straight through the intersection on the northbound approach.
- The northbound left turn traffic represents the critical volume and movement within the
 approach lane as the LOS analysis finds that without left turn traffic the northbound
 approach operates with an acceptable LOS `B` and delay of 11 seconds. Conversely if
 there were no right turn vehicles and only left turn traffic the intersection fails at LOS `F`
 and 66 seconds delay.
- The elementary school development project will distribute only three trips to the northbound left turn movement that causes the intersection to fail.

Therefore, it is our interpretation of code section 40.350.020(G)(1)(c) that the proposed development shall not be required to mitigate or contribute towards the cost for mitigation at 232nd Avenue and 28th Street because less than five peak period trips are added to the movement causing the intersection to fail.

Supporting LOS documentation is attached to this memo.

If you should have any questions, please contact Frank Charbonneau, PE, PTOE at 503.293.1118 or email Frank@CharbonneauEngineer.com.

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	25.9									11225300			
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR		WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		4				44>			4			€\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	169	165		172	295	0	163	0	142	0	0	4
Future Vol, veh/h	0	169	165		172	295	0	163	0	142	0	0	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free		Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Yield	Yield	Yield
RT Channelized			None				None			None	•	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0				0			0	_			
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91		91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	3		1	1	1	4	4	4	0	0	(
Mvmt Flow	0	186	181		189	324	0	179	0	156	0	0	4
Major/Minor	Major1				Major2			Minor1					
Conflicting Flow All	324	0	0		367	0	0	978	978	276			
Stage 1	324	-	U		301	U	U	276	276	210			
Stage 2		Marinia.				ric Trick		702	702				
Critical Hdwy	4.13				4.11			6.44	6.54	6.24			
Critical Howy	4.13		Section 1		4.11			5.44	5.54	0.24			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2							SEL ADVEC	5.44	5.54				
Follow-up Hdwy	2.227				2.209			3.536	4.036	3.336			
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1230				1197	ulosie, s		275	248	758			
	1230	MP 5	-		1197		-	766	678	130			
Stage 1 Stage 2		•					•	488	437	•			
			entre E.		- T			400	437	-			
Platoon blocked, %	1230	rio de los	-ANTE		1197			222	0	758			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1230	-	•		1197	-	-	222	0	736			
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-		-		-			222	0				
Stage 1		-	-		-		-	766	0	1,000			
Stage 2		•	•		•	•	-	394	0	-			
Approach	EB				WB			NB					
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS	0				3.2			89.2 F					
HOM FO2								F					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR						
Capacity (veh/h)	331	1230	-		1197	-							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	1.013	-	-	-	0.158	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)	89.2	0			8.6	0							
HCM Lane LOS	F	Α		-	Α	Α	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	11.5	0	-		0.6		-						

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	3.2												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR		WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		€\$				€\$			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	169	165		172	295	0	0	0	142	0	0	4
Future Vol, veh/h	0	169	165		172	295	0	0	0	142	0	0	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free		Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Yield	Yield	Yield
RT Channelized		_	None		-		None			None	-		None
Storage Length	=	-	-		_	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #		0	-		_	0	-		0			_	
Grade, %	-	0	-		_	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91		91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	9
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	3		1	1	1	4	4	4	0	0	(
Mvmt Flow	0	186	181		189	324	0	0	0	156	0	0	-
Major/Minor	Major1			٨	/lajor2			Minor1					
Conflicting Flow All	324	0	0		367	0	0	978	978	276			
	324	U	U		301	U	U	276	276	210			
Stage 1		-	•		•	-	-	702	702				
Stage 2	4 4 2		-		111				6.54	C 24			
Critical Hdwy	4.13		•		4.11	-	•	6.44		6.24			
Critical Hdwy Stg 1								5.44	5.54	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	0.007	-				•	,	5.44	5.54				
Follow-up Hdwy	2.227	-	•		2.209	-		3.536	4.036				
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1230	-	-		1197		-	275	248	758			
Stage 1			•		-	•	-	766	678	-			
Stage 2	•	-			=	-	-	488	437	-			
Platoon blocked, %		-	•			-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1230	•	-		1197	-		222	0	758			
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-		-	-	-	222	0	-			
Stage 1	-	-	-		-	-	-	766	0	-			
Stage 2		-						394	0	-			
Approach	EB				WB			NB					
HCM Control Delay, s	0				3.2			11					
HCM LOS								В					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR						
Capacity (veh/h)	758	1230			1197	-							P. Day
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.206	-	-	-	0.158	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)	11	0		4	8.6	0							
HCM Lane LOS	В	A		<u>-</u>	Α	A							
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.8	0			0.6								

Intersection	\$ 15												
Int Delay, s/veh 12	2.7												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	N	/BL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		€}>				€\$			€\$>	}		4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	169	165		172	295	0	163		0	0	0	4
Future Vol, veh/h	0	169	165		172	295	0	163	0	0	0	0	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	F	ree	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Yield	Yield	Yield
RT Channelized			None		-	-	None		- Miles	None	•	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-		_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #		0	-		-	0	-	-	0	-		2	-
Grade, %	-	0	-		-	0	-	ī-	0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91		91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	3	3		1	1	1	4	4	4	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	0	186	181		189	324	0	179	0	0	0	0	4
Major/Minor	Major1			Maj	or2			Minor1					
Conflicting Flow All	324	0	0		367	0	0	978	978	276			
Stage 1	024				-		_	276	276	210			
Stage 2		Design a					_	702	702				
Critical Hdwy	4.13			1	.11			6.44	6.54	6.24			
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	7.10			Total Control				5.44	5.54	0.24			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2							_	5.44	5.54				
Follow-up Hdwy	2.227		_	25	209		_	3.536		3.336			
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1230		_		197			275	248	758			
Stage 1	1200		_		-		_	766	678	730			
Stage 2								488	437				
Platoon blocked, %			-					+00	737				
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1230			11	197			222	0	758			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1230		RES LATE		101	121201- <u>-</u>		222	0	700			
Stage 1								766	0				
Stage 2	ien de care de				-			394	0				
Stage 2								334	U	•			
Approach	EB		E 11-3-11		ΝB			NB					
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS	0				3.2			65.9 F					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR W	BL	WBT	WBR	3					
Capacity (veh/h)	222	1230	-	- 11	97		-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.807	-	1	- 0.1	58	-							
HCM Control Delay (s)	65.9	0	_		8.6	0	-						
HCM Lane LOS	F	Α	-		Α	Α	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	5.9	0	-	-	0.6								