

Sarah Fox

---

**From:** Mike Odren <mikeo@olsonengr.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 19, 2018 3:46 PM  
**To:** Sarah Fox  
**Cc:** Mike Odren  
**Subject:** RE: draft Camas Urban Tree Program

Sorry, Sarah. I didn't see that. The change you are proposing is fine. Sorry I didn't see that. Good luck tonight!

Mike

**Michael Odren, RLA**

Landscape Architect, Land Use Planner  
Associate Principal  
Olson Engineering, Inc.  
222 E. Evergreen Boulevard  
Vancouver, WA 98660  
(360) 695-1385  
OR (503) 289-9936  
Fax (360) 695-8117



**Confidentiality Notice:** This e-mail message may contain confidential or privileged information. If you have received this message by mistake, please do not review, disclose, copy, or distribute the e-mail. Instead, please notify us immediately by replying to this message or telephoning us. Thank you.

---

**From:** Sarah Fox [mailto:[SFox@cityofcamas.us](mailto:SFox@cityofcamas.us)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 19, 2018 3:42 PM  
**To:** 'Mike Odren'  
**Subject:** RE: draft Camas Urban Tree Program

Mike,  
Thanks for the follow-up.

The change that you are suggesting below, I thought that I had addressed on page 15 with “or adapted to northwest climate”?

1. Required landscaping shall be comprised of a minimum of sixty (60) percent native vegetation (or adapted to northwest climate), or drought-tolerant vegetation, and fifty (50) percent evergreen.

---

**From:** Mike Odren [mailto:[mikeo@olsonengr.com](mailto:mikeo@olsonengr.com)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 19, 2018 2:48 PM  
**To:** Sarah Fox <[SFox@cityofcamas.us](mailto:SFox@cityofcamas.us)>; [bryceh@aks-eng.com](mailto:bryceh@aks-eng.com); Randall B. Printz <[randy.printz@landerholm.com](mailto:randy.printz@landerholm.com)>; [paul@torvale.com](mailto:paul@torvale.com)

**Cc:** Phil Bourquin <[PBourquin@cityofcamas.us](mailto:PBourquin@cityofcamas.us)>; Robert Maul <[RMaul@cityofcamas.us](mailto:RMaul@cityofcamas.us)>; [ryan@biaofclarkcounty.org](mailto:ryan@biaofclarkcounty.org)  
**Subject:** RE: draft Camas Urban Tree Program

Sarah,

Thank you for your and staff's efforts in putting this together and addressing our concerns. The proposed changes look good and provide the necessary flexibility that is needed to address a variety of landscape design considerations. The only other comment I have is found below:

1. Section 18.130.050(C)(1) – Highly suggest adding the following, “Required landscaping shall be comprised of a minimum of sixty (60) percent native vegetation, drought-tolerant vegetation, *or non-invasive naturalized species that have adapted to the climactic conditions of the coastal region of the Pacific Northwest*, and 50 percent evergreen.” This is used in landscape codes in other local jurisdictions that allow for greater flexibility. Otherwise, the way this section currently reads will severely limit the species and varieties of plant materials that may be used.

Please allow this email to be additional testimony from Olson Engineering, Inc., as I will not be attending the hearing this evening.

Respectfully,

Mike

**Michael Odren, RLA**

Landscape Architect, Land Use Planner

Associate Principal

Olson Engineering, Inc.

222 E. Evergreen Boulevard

Vancouver, WA 98660

(360) 695-1385

OR (503) 289-9936

Fax (360) 695-8117



**Confidentiality Notice:** This e-mail message may contain confidential or privileged information. If you have received this message by mistake, please do not review, disclose, copy, or distribute the e-mail. Instead, please notify us immediately by replying to this message or telephoning us. Thank you.

---

**From:** Sarah Fox [mailto:[SFox@cityofcamas.us](mailto:SFox@cityofcamas.us)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 19, 2018 9:43 AM  
**To:** 'Mike Odren'; '[bryceh@aks-eng.com](mailto:bryceh@aks-eng.com)'; Randall B. Printz; '[paul@torvale.com](mailto:paul@torvale.com)'  
**Cc:** Phil Bourquin; Robert Maul  
**Subject:** draft Camas Urban Tree Program

Good morning,

I will be providing the four individual pages to the Commission for consideration rather than issue an entirely revised version of the staff report. The footnote on the pages indicate that it is "Version 2". This should allow them to easily compare the versions and make a decision.

Page 11 – includes a hazard tree definition

Page 13 – corrects a minor typo at “C” that misspelled “trees”

Page 15 – At Subsection “D” replaced “minimum spacing” with “generally”. At Subsection “G” removed the prohibition of lawns as ground cover in landscape buffers, and added “cannot be the primary ground cover”.

Page 17 – At Subsection “B” removed the term “demonstrate an effort”.

- Also changed priority #3 to include trees over 36” dbh, rather than native vegetation.
- Priority #4 distinguishes between land divisions and other development types.
- Subsection “C” repeats the phrase “*to meet the minimum tree density*” to add more clarity.
- Table 1 – Landscape Buffers was missing dimensions for buffers in the Industrial zones.

Thank you for all of your input and assistance.

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE:** This e-mail account is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this e-mail account may be a public record. Accordingly, this e-mail, in whole or in part may be subject to disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any claim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party.